

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BROWN COUNTY
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Pursuant to Section 18.94 Wis. Stats., a regular meeting of the **Brown County Executive Committee** was held on Monday, June 8, 2015 in Room 200 of the Northern Building, 305 E. Walnut Street, Green Bay, Wisconsin.

Present: Chair Lund, Supervisors Patrick Moynihan, John Van Dyck, Patrick Evans, Bernie Erickson, Patrick Buckley and Steven Fewell

Also Present:

Troy Streckenbach (*County Executive*)
Chad Weininger (*Director of Administration*)
Dan Process (*Internal Auditor*)
Neil Anderson and Matt Kriese (*NEW Zoo & Park*)
Paul Zeller (*Treasurer*)
Warren Kraft (*Director of Human Resources*)

Erik Pritzl (*Director of Human Services*)
Chua Xiong (*Director of Health Department*)
John Vander Leest (*Clerk of Courts*)
David Lasee (*District Attorney*)
News media and other interested parties

I. Call meeting to order.

The meeting was called to order by Chair Tom Lund at 5:30pm.

II. Approve/modify agenda.

Motion made by Supervisor Moynihan, seconded by Supervisor Erickson to approve as modified with the addition of 11a. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

III. Approve/modify Minutes of May 11, 2015 & May 20, 2015 (special).

Motion made by Supervisor Evans, seconded by Supervisor Moynihan to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Comments from the Public None.

Vacant Budgeted Positions (Request to Fill)

1. Administration – Finance Director – Vacated 6/15/15.
2. Airport – Assistant Airport Director – Vacated 1/30/15.
3. Clerk of Circuit Court – Customer Service Clerk – Vacated 7/6/15.
4. District Attorney – Clerk/Typist II – Vacated 5/29/15.
5. Health – Public Health Sanitarian II - Vacated 6/9/15,
6. Human Resources – Benefits Specialist – Vacated 8/7/15.
7. Human Resources – Employee Services Manager – Vacated 6/26/15.
8. Human Services (CTC) - Certified Occupational Therapy Assistant – Vacated 6/11/15.
9. Human Services – Economic Support Specialist (x2) – Vacated 5/27/15 & 5/29/15.
10. Parks – Park Ranger – Vacated 6/8/15.
11. Public Works/Highway – Highway Crew (x2) – Vacated 6/1/15 & 6/4/15.
- 11a. Clerk of Circuit Court – Court Coordinator – Vacated 4/27/15.

Motion made by Supervisor Moynihan , seconded by Supervisor Erickson to suspend the rules to take Items 1-11a. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Van Dyck questioned when the wage for the Clerk of Circuit Court Court Coordinator position was reviewed? It seemed like \$16.79 an hour in comparison to some of the other Clerk positions seemed rather high. Clerk of Circuit Courts John Vander Leest informed that that was the introductory wage starting out and he believed after six months there would be a 3-5% bump after that. They had four

Customer Service Clerks at the Clerk of Courts; they had to have knowledge of all of the various areas of the office, all of the filing procedures and intake of money. These positions were above an introductory clerk typist and have had previous experience in the office or similar experience elsewhere. Responding to Supervisor Fewell, Vander Leest informed that it was in line with the Class and Comp Study. He added that they had two retirements since the beginning of the year and they have not filled those positions. They were down two full-time equivalents. They were trying to do with what they had and the best they could. Erickson questioned that if they hire someone from the outside, were they going to hire someone that had this experience. Vander Leest responded that they were going to seek a qualified party that had a combination of customer service, legal/law background, and has some knowledge of the court system.

Supervisor Buckley arrived at 5:33 p.m.

Motion made by Supervisor Moynihan, seconded by Supervisor Erickson to approve Items 1-11a. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Communications

- 12. Communication from Supervisor Buckley re: To have administration work with Door and Oconto County to negotiate a contract with a county that currently has medical forensics pathologists that have the ability to bring on Brown County and its partners. *Referred from May County Board.***

Buckley informed that he wanted Administration to start working with the county that had already done this in the past. Have Weininger work with Oconto and Door County as their partners and start negotiations on a contract with one of the service providers.

Weininger responded that Public Safety directed him to proceed with Dane County but work with Oconto and Door County to enter into a contract for a professional Medical Forensics Pathologist Medical Examiner (ME) services. In anticipation of moving forward, he did reach out to Oconto and Door to gauge their interest level. Oconto was interested and will have the same presentation that Brown County had. He informed that another county reached out to them to possibly come aboard also, kind of like a northern consortium for ME services. As stated in the presentation, it made more sense to diversify their costs to have more people come in and get the volumes so they could in the future have someone stationed here to provide those services. Buckley believed out of Public Safety a resolution was being put together for County Board. Weininger stated that that was correct, currently the State Joint Finance Committee froze the rates for what they could charge for death certificates, disinterment permits and cremation permits. So they looked at the average of what the four counties that couldn't provide ME services for the Forensic Pathologists. Those averages, when looking at the rates, they covered what the increased costs would be to hire out or contract out.

Van Dyck stated that there were other counties, other than Dane, that had a Medical Forensic Pathologist, he questioned if any other counties were a possibility. Weininger responded that there were four and one county in Milwaukee reached out to them. They provided a Medical Forensic Pathologist, but didn't actually run a Medical Examiner's Office. Dane County had the most experience based on the direction they gave them at Public Safety Committee.

Motion made by Supervisor Fewell, seconded by Supervisor Buckley to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Legal Bills

- 13. Review and Possible Action on Legal Bills to be paid.**

Motion made by Supervisor Fewell, seconded by Supervisor Evans to pay the legal bills. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Reports

14. County Executive Report.

Executive Streckenbach provided a handout (attached) and informing that this week they kicked off the *Discover Brown County* initiative. The handout was put together to promote the cultural institutions and assets that Brown County had. It was a month by month, June-August, interactive guide stating events that were available to Brown County residents. Leveraging the great things that this community had built over time. Most importantly they were trying to encourage families to get together and spend time in Brown County and take advantage of some of the great things that Brown County had to offer. Attend events each month, collect stamps to fill the page and turn it into one of the participating locations to be entered into a drawing. They were testing it out this summer and if it had good success they were looking at doing it year-round.

Last week the federal agency announced its PreCheck expedited screening program was available at Austin Straubel International, which was another bonus. PreCheck allowed passengers to bypass many of the regular screening procedures. Travelers must enroll in the program, which cost \$85 for five years. The process included online enrollment at TSA.gov and an in-person visit to an application center to provide identification and be fingerprinted.

The Land Conservation was working with a number of different community partners as part of the Phosphorus Committee to put together demonstration farms. This was in the budget leading up to 2015. He informed there was going to be a demo June 16th. It started in Outagamie and will be in Brown County in the afternoon. This would give them an idea of what they were doing in terms of trying to better manage the land and keep the soil on the land verses running off into the watershed and ultimately in the Bay.

June 17th was the grand opening for the Veterans Manor, a 50-unit apartment complex on Green Bay's east side. The project accepted applications from low-income veterans. Preference was given to Brown County veterans, but was not limited by residency. It was a project that had been in the making for a few years and something they were very proud of what they were able to accomplish from a community standpoint and a resource that was provided to veterans in the community. It was a very positive, final outcome from what they started with the old Mental Health Center. The former Human Services Director Brian Shoup brought them in contact with Brigadier General Robert Cocroft from the Center of Veterans issues down in Milwaukee and from there it became a life of its own.

Veterans will pay 30 percent of their income in rent. The rest is covered by vouchers. The apartments are designed for independent living, but CVI will assist veterans in finding other services as needed, including for post-traumatic stress disorder; substance abuse; education; social anxiety; family, health and employment issues, and more. The majority of the \$7.4 million project was funded through the sale of tax credits granted through the Wisconsin Housing and Economic Development Authority. It also received \$300,000 grants through the Affordable Housing Program and from a Home Depot charitable initiative, and \$30,000 in federal funds through the Redevelopment Authority. Green Bay was chosen because of the nearby Milo C. Huempfer V.A. Clinic and because of support from Green Bay and Brown County.

Motion made by Supervisor Buckley, seconded by Supervisor Erickson to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

15. **Internal Auditor Report.**

a. **Board of Supervisors Budget Status Reports: April 2015.**

Motion made by Supervisor Fewell, seconded by Supervisor Moynihan to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

b. **Monthly Status Update: May 1 – May 31, 2015.**

Internal Auditor Dan Process referred to Item 1a of his report titled Projects: In-progress: Customer Service Survey – Public Health Inspector (Report Phase). The report had been written, it was in draft form. He was looking for advice from the committee on how to proceed with it. Normally he would give it to the department and share it and have them review to make sure it was accurate. He had not shared the information with Heath Director Chua Xiong. The other issue was that it was a survey, they received responses back but wasn't sure how to handle those items as well. His initial thought was to exclude it from the report but provide it to those supervisors because it was his understanding that survey came about by a few supervisors asking for it to be done. He just wanted to get an understanding on how to proceed with it.

Fewell asked if it was part of his work plan, Process responded that initially it was not. Erickson stated that a handful of supervisors were involved in this. It started out by going through the Director of Administration Weininger and together he and Process worked together on it. Erickson felt the results should go back to Weininger and he should go back to those supervisors and discuss it with them. The answers might be complete at this point. He didn't think this whole thing was set up to be a public type record per say. By consensus, the committee felt this was now a public document.

Weininger stated that if they looked at the survey results, they were really not that bad. Overall it was a good customer service tool for customer feedback. They were hearing some different thoughts and theories and he felt this survey helped hone in on some areas in the health inspection area where they could give it to the Heath Director to kind of improve some service. He felt there were some areas that could be approved upon. It was an area of philosophy to whether they wanted to have a strong enforcement or if they wanted to have the inspectors try to get people in compliance, more of a partnership. He felt it was a pretty good exercise that would help improve their quality of service to their food vendors and provide a greater level of service for them and help the sanitarians improve their relationships with the customers and provide safe eating establishments and do it in a more friendly way. Weininger informed that they went back and sent out surveys for a six-month period for two inspectors. They sent them out to various businesses. Process added that 193 surveys that went out and they received a 30% response.

Erickson informed that he did get some in between follow-up on this while the survey was out. He was surprised that they got as high as 30%. A lot of the comments out were there was going to be retaliation on this. Weininger stated that what they tried to do was make it anonymous and they couldn't trace it back to the actual person. So unless they gave a specific, there wasn't any way. They tried to address that as they knew it might be an issue and worked around it the best they could.

Buckley stated that it would be nice if they could do something like this on the county website for all departments for ongoing feedback all of the time. Weininger informed that when he was the City Clerk they used a survey card. If they had a good or bad experience they could send it back in confidential and it was helpful and pointed out areas the Clerk's Office needed help.

Fewell informed that he was concerned when a few supervisors could initiate a performance evaluation on employees. It bothered him that only a few could do that outside of committee, get a survey done by Administration without committee or board approval, or without going into closed session. He had concerns that if this didn't go through a parent committee and wasn't done in a very transparent way, which he felt it should be done, he had concerns that they could easily setup

supervisors to choose what particular area they wanted to go after. Moynihan informed that it was discussed on the board floor but he understood his concerns about not going through the committee structure. Weininger informed that Admin had looked at more of a customer service thing and talked to the department head stating that they would like to do this for customer service overall because of the different thoughts on it but he got what Fewell was saying.

Moynihan suggested forwarding the results to those supervisors who were initially involved and then put it before the oversight committee; it was full transparency that way. Lund felt they needed to have full transparency too; they couldn't start doing surveys and not have the rest of the board involved. Moynihan reiterated that it was stated on the board floor, there were supervisors talking about this issue at the board meeting. Fewell agreed that that could happen but there needed to be a formulation of a motion and take an action for that to take place. Moynihan informed that it was under the report. Fewell understood but added that there should have been a motion under the report.

Buckley stated he didn't see a problem in it, he looked at it as supervisors went to different parts of admin with a problem in a department and they went and did surveys if there was a problem or not. If there was a big problem, they could have brought it back to the committee for some sort of action. They streamlined a little bit and got things done. When they actually take something upon themselves to get something done, they chastise them. If they went to the County Clerk's office, they had survey cards to survey the employees. That went back to his suggestion regarding having something on the county website for people to go and give their feedback.

Evans informed that he was disappointed that this didn't come through the Human Services Committee. If they were going to do a survey of employees then they needed to have certain items spelt out, what were they looking to achieve, what were the parameters, what were the specific kind of questions. The problem was that he didn't see the survey because they had no oversight of it on the Human Services Committee, there was no discussion. It went back to saying that they could pick and choose what they wanted to do as a supervisor and they could have Administration do that, because that is what he believed happened in this situation. Weininger reiterated that prior to doing that they did reach out to the department head that oversaw it and informed that they would like to do a survey and what were her thoughts. She felt it was a good idea and had some ideas of making some changes anyway. Evans felt it was great that supervisors were initiating things and want to do that because that was the job of supervisors but were they going to be doing surveys of all of their employees as well. It seemed that they could haphazardly go and pick out the sanitarians and do a survey on them. He questioned the Zoo Director Neil Anderson on when was the last time they did surveys? Anderson responded that they had survey cards going out but they used Facebook a lot. They had constant feedback coming back on a daily basis. They were engaged in with the public whether it was good comments or comments that they needed to work on something. They always answered and had that dialog but they had over 27,000 followers there as well. Evans felt that was Buckley stated was important, if they were going to be getting real feedback and they wanted to get that from their public and maybe there was an area that they needed to set up on the website. He felt they might be stepping into an area sometimes that he didn't necessarily know if it was appropriate.

Fewell informed that he had no problem at all and believed Administration had the responsibility to be doing quality assurance and follow-up if there were concerns. That was an administrative thing; he expected them to do that. When supervisors were the impetus for that to happen, then he felt it needed to be much more transparent and it needed to have approval.

Based on what Evans and Fewell stated, Buckley felt that if they had a request on a certain department for more information or comments about how they operate that it should go through a committee. If they wanted more traffic control on a certain highway it should really go through the parent committee. He would think then that all requests should go through parent committees and

supervisors shouldn't have to contact any department heads. Evans didn't believe that was the same thing.

Lund felt there was a difference when there was a request for information from a department head than when you request them to go out and do a survey where it was going to take time. If they ask someone to do something that would require work, then it would come through as a communication and go to the proper committee because they would want to know what people were doing out there and not all the sudden get something back and question where it came from. He was not saying it was bad to have a survey; it would have probably been approved by committee. He didn't feel there was an inherent conflict, it was just that if they were asking a department head or a group of department heads to do something then it was better if it came from full force of the committee to go out and gather the information. Buckley questioned even if it was their idea to do it? Lund felt it sounded like it was the supervisor's idea. But the department heads did have a work plan for the year and they should be reporting to their committees what their work plans were and if they had any ideas. Dan informed that he would take blame for that, normally if there was a change in the Audit plan, he would come forward as it was going to affect the Audit plan that was in place. Lund informed that he wasn't blaming anyone but felt that Evans and Fewell had a point that there was transparency and that parent committees should be in control of their area and that's why they had the committee process. Moynihan informed that it was driven by supervisors with the blessing of Administration; Process was collating the end result. He did ask the Chair's opinion and he responded that if it wasn't going to take a lot of his time, he had no issue with it.

Erickson informed that there was quite a bit of controversy about this, there were a lot of entrepreneurs that had contacted supervisors and they wanted some resolution or something. It had already been discussed at budget time. No one was at fault about this, it was a very honest attempt to try and straighten out an adverse situation. He didn't think at any point that any of the supervisors involved had the idea of taking a survey. This was a solution that came forward and it was an anonymous way of doing something. A number of them got to take a look at it before it went out but everything was done up front and even with the blessing of the new department head. She was totally immersed in this. It wasn't a situation where a couple people trying to find something out. They were trying to get some information on the table for this new director so she could in turn handle the situation. When she initially heard about it, she was quite upset, and he would possibly say because of the duration of the time difference of when this went out and when it was initially prepared, he felt under her jurisdiction, a lot of things may have changed and those 30% that came back maybe did show progress.

Weininger informed that the idea of the survey more than likely came from him so that they could figure out fact and fiction and if there was an issue they could use it as a tool to fix it. He worked on a lot of projects and liked to offer solutions, it more than likely did come from him as a solution through Administration.

Moynihan informed that Process was looking for direction. Process stated that he heard to distribute it to those supervisors that requested it. Moynihan felt it should go to the parent committee and offered it as a motion. Evans stated they needed to have it as an agenda item on Human Services. Weininger suggested sharing it with the Health Department Director so she had some time and they could present it at the committee.

Process informed that the survey was a part of and included in their Executive Committee agenda packet last month.

Motion made by Supervisor Moynihan, seconded by Supervisor Fewell to send it to the Human Services Committee. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

With regard to Budget Status Financial Reports, Process informed that last year there was a request for him to compile the quarterly reports and distribute them to the supervisors. Currently there were a few departments that weren't preparing them. He was in contact with them to see if they would be willing to generate them. There were so departments that were saying that the committees hadn't requested the information or have in the past but the committees had seen no need for it. Lund informed that it was by ordinance that they provide it every month. They should all be doing it. The board overwhelmingly said they wanted to have these reports. Process stated a majority of the departments were but this was to make sure that everyone was consistent.

Motion made by Supervisor Buckley, seconded by Supervisor Fewell to receive and place on file 15b. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

16. Human Resources Report.

Human Resource Director Warren Kraft informed that they were working with their consultants M3 on refinements to the health insurance plan for 2016. Last month they had a vendor summit. It was gathering all of their providers into one room and talking about what they did in front of the other providers. It was enlightening and they had some lines of communication open. They will develop an action plan to try and keep that energy going so that their vendors were working with them and with each other.

They were close to a recommendation on the near site clinic known as FastCare, Urgent Care, etc.

Motion made by Supervisor Moynihan, seconded by Supervisor Buckley to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Resolutions, Ordinances

17. Ordinance – An Ordinance to Amend Chapters 8 and 30 of the Brown County Code Entitled, Respectively, as “Parks and Recreation Facilities” and “Public Health, Safety, Welfare, and Protection”.

Park Manager Matt Kriese stated that right now if someone was stopped without a trail pass their only enforcement mechanism was to issue an ordinance citation and sometimes those were in the amount of \$150 up to \$200. In the parks, they try to mix a little sugar with the salt, it wasn't heavy handed enforcement, it was education within there. This violation notice which Corporation Counsel had drafted basically adjusted their current Chapter 8 and allowed them the ability to implement that violation notice. It had been approved at the May County Board but it was not in an ordinance form yet. Ultimately it would still need to go through the budget process to set a fee associated with that violation notice. Lund informed that this had gone back since October. They had a supervisor that had asked for this information. Buckley questioned if they had a lot of problems with it. Kriese informed that they had enough. Their staff did a lot of enforcement mainly on the Fox River trail knowing it was a self-funded trail and they relied on those trail fees. Staff tends to spend a lot of time on weekends enforcing it. There was enough of an issue where people don't buy their pass until they are stopped knowing that all they will do will make them buy a pass. So now they were looking to go a little bit beyond that with a little enforcement, with whatever the board chose on that.

Motion made by Supervisor Van Dyck, seconded by Supervisor Moynihan to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Supervisor Buckley dismissed at 6:27 p.m.

Treasurer

18. **Review and approval or rejection of high bids for tax deed properties.**
a. **Parcel 2-437 614 Tenth Street, Green Bay.**

Zeller indicated that Heather Suess was the winning bidder, her husband was the gentleman that appeared and spoke on behalf of the public notice and the minimum bid. Subsequently they did actively bid on the re-auction and were the high bidders. Zeller provided handouts (attached), a recap of the parcel, which broke down the general taxes owned, the interest & penalty on those general taxes due to the county and then the special assessment count and the interest penalty count that the city would have put on the property for anything from delinquent water bills to cutting the grass prior to the county's ownership of the parcel. The \$7,514 figure was the city's special assessment for raising the home that was on the parcel previously, which the City of Green Bay does not get back. The city's only means of recovering those raised costs were the county's sale covering more than the general tax due and the county's interest & penalties then the city was in line to recruit the balance. Zeller noted that they were adequately covered in the general tax account but it was just a reminder that all those general taxes from 2010-2014 in this case, the county paid all the taxing jurisdictions, paid those amounts out so they were left with the deficit to fill and their reward was the interest and penalties accumulating over those years. With the high bid price they were sufficiently covered so he would consider this an adequate bid but it was exclusively the Executive Committee's discretion in accepting these bids. The high bid last time was \$1,500 by Habitat for Humanity. That was after there were 11 bids in the previous round. There were 26 bids to get to the \$4,572. The auction company had a bidder's premium that they added on to that. The bidder in this case would end up pay \$5,139, the county nets \$4,672. The bidder's premium was paid completely outside the transaction to the county.

Motion made by Supervisor Van Dyck, seconded by Supervisor Erickson motion to approve the sale parcel 2-437 to Heather Suess in the amount of \$4,672. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- b. **Parcel 7-467 1021 Eastman Avenue, Green Bay.**

Handouts provided (attached). In analyzing the adequacy of the high bid, the price on the home on Eastman Avenue, in this case it covered costs, general tax, interest and penalties, in-rem charges, title fees, etc. Then there will be some satisfaction of the city's special assessments as well, which was a good thing. They had so many of these and they had to partner with the municipalities. It was his primary goal to get these back on the tax rolls and secondary goal, to get a good price for these. Regardless of condition, location, there were so many things that came into play. Here was an example where they will all be satisfied with the sale. Again, he would consider this an adequate bid. This one was originally bid on and the bidder did not pay so they had to go back out again and the minimum bid was \$11,000 as determined by the assessment appraiser.

Motion made by Supervisor Van Dyck, seconded by Supervisor Erickson to approve the sale of parcel 7-467 to Dale Halfaday for the amount of \$19,750. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

19. **Closed Session – To deliberate the sale of a Brown County owned Parcel to a Brown County Municipality (Parcel 14-1048 at 1163 Chicago Street, Green Bay) under State Statute §19.85(1)(e) deliberating or negotiating the purchasing of public properties, the investing of public funds, or conducting other specified public business, whenever competitive or bargaining reasons require a closed session.**

Motion made by Supervisor Van Dyck, seconded by Supervisor Erickson to enter into closed session. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Roll Call: Evans, Erickson, Lund, Moynihan, Van Dyck, Fewell.

Motion made by Supervisor Fewell, seconded by Supervisor Evans to return to regular order of business. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Roll Call: Evans, Erickson, Lund, Moynihan, Van Dyck, Fewell.

- 20. Reconvene in Open Session for the purpose of taking action on Parcel 14-1048.**

Other

- 21. Such other matters as authorized by law.**

Treasurer Zeller indicated that he would be forwarding a communication to Supervisors in regard to foreclosures.

- 22. Adjourn.**

Motion made by Supervisor Evans, seconded by Supervisor Erickson to adjourn at 6:54 p.m. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Respectfully submitted,

Alicia A. Loehlein
Recording Secretary

Parcel No **2-437**

Taken in Rem: **June 2, 2014**

After 90 day extension

Date Municipality offered: _____

Date Letter Sent to former owner: _____

TAX YEAR	GENERAL TAX	INTEREST & PENALTY	SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	INTEREST * PENALTY
2014	288.80		74.18	362.98
2013	301.50	40.71	151.24	20.42 61.13 513.87
2012	302.90	95.41	759.53	239.25 334.66 1,397.09
2011	308.40	152.66	7,514.61	3,719.73 387.28 11,695.40
2010	601.70	406.15	329.72	222.56 625.11 1,560.13
SPECIALS NOT ON TAX ROLL				
TOTALS				
	1,803.30	694.93	8,829.28	4,201.96 15,529.47

Out of Pocket Charges

In-Rem charges	156.00
Guardian Ad Litem	
Total Charges	156.00
Total Charges & Taxes	15,685.47

+ 694.93
16,380.40



202 W. Front Street – PO Box 113
 Mount Horeb, WI 53572
 608-437-2001
 Sellers Permit/Tax ID: 456-0000033384-03
 FEIN: 39-1982769

Invoice

#150605-55623-6
 06/05/2015

Heather Suess
 610 10th Ave
 Green Bay Wisconsin 54303

Phone: 920-217-5002
 Email: h.suess@hotmail.com

--PAYMENT DEADLINE: Final Winning bids must get board approval on June 8, 2015. Board has the right to reject or accept. Payment is required after approval and prior to 5pm on June 16, 2015 -- You will lose your bidding number if not paid on time --

Online Auction #15327-06 - Brown County, Wisconsin - Tax Delinquent Real Estate - You are a winning bidder. Please contact Wisconsin Surplus prior to the above payment deadline to make payment arrangements.

1. **Payment Methods:**
 - o Send guaranteed checks to Wisconsin Surplus, PO Box 113, Mount Horeb WI 53572.
 - o Wire Transfer Directions available upon request.
 - o Pay at our Office in Mount Horeb Via Cash, Guaranteed Check or Money Order
 - o Credit card, PayPal, Personal & Business Checks are **NOT** acceptable forms of payment - no exceptions
2. **General Contact:** Brown County Treasurer's Office at (920) 448-4074 or BC_Treasurer@co.brown.wi.us
3. **Deed Transfer Contact:** Brown County Treasurer's Office at (920) 448-4074 or BC_Treasurer@co.brown.wi.us
4. **Deed Transfer:** You must notify Brown County Treasurer's Office at (920) 448-4074 or BC_Treasurer@co.brown.wi.us in writing (Fax, Email, Mail) as to who will be the owner of record for the property - So Brown County Treasurer's Office can have the deed drafted properly. Please contact Brown County Treasurer's Office with any questions related to the deed transfer.
5. **Note:** Once payment is received Wisconsin Surplus will notify Buyer and Seller. After payment notification buyer is to contact Brown County Treasurer's Office and relay to them in written form any and all required deed transfer information. At which point all required information is received by Brown County Treasurer's Office they will begin the deed transfer process.

Bidder	Item	Qty	Description	Tax	Amount
55623	2437	1	Corner Vacant Lot at Tenth St. and West Mason St. Green Bay - Address: 614 10th Ave, Green Bay, WI 54303 - County Map: Brown County GIS Mapping - Municipality: City of Green Bay - Parcel ID: 2-437 - Opening Bid: 100 - Acres: 6,387 Sq. Ft. - Lot Dimensions: 52' wide x roughly 122' deep land - Zoning: A Residential – Contact county and local zoning agency for exact zoning. - Type of Access to Property: Off Public Roadway - School District: Green Bay - Structures On Property: None - Property Vacant: Yes - Property Clear of previous owner's personal property: Buyer is responsible for removal of any and all personal property, if any - Legal Description: TANKS 5TH ADD LOT 2 BLK 59 - Title Type: Quit Claim Deed - Deed Transfer Fee: 30 - Title Transfer Terms: Deed will be issued upon payment in full. - Clear Title: No abstract, title insurance, or survey will be provided by the seller. The winning bidder is responsible for recording fees, taxes, special assessments and special charges etc., if any – as provided in Wis Stat. 75.521(8), (Wisconsin Statutes 2009-2010). Bidders are encouraged to research title. - Estimated Yearly Taxes: Buyer responsible for all future real estate taxes. - Defects: - Overall Condition: Property is being sold "As Is". It is the bidder's responsibility to determine condition and any defects. Bidders are strongly encouraged to make personally inspection prior to bidding. Property is subject to all easements, right-of-way, and restrictions of record, if any. Brown County makes no representation or guarantee with respect to the use, condition, title, access or occupancy of the property. (Caution: Properties may be occupied and you may not be granted access by occupants)	0.10	4672.00

- **County Contact:** Brown County Treasurer's Office at (920) 448-4074 or BC_Treasurer@co.brown.wi.us - 305 E Walnut St., Green Bay, WI 54301; Fax: (920) 448-6341
- Click on Photo or Item # for full Details

Bid total:	4672.00
Premium:	467.20
Sub-total:	5139.20
Total:	5139.20
Balance Due:	5139.20

Parcel No **7-467**

Taken in Rem: **June 2, 2014**

After 90 day extension

Date Municipality offered: _____

Date Letter Sent to former owner: _____

1021 Eastman Av

TAX YEAR	GENERAL TAX	INTEREST & PENALTY	SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	INTEREST * PENALTY	
2014	1,308.20		1,439.87		2,748.07
2013	1,374.50	185.56	577.07	77.91	2,215.04
2012	1,487.20	468.47	468.72	147.65	2,572.04
2011	1,515.90	750.37	571.68	282.98	3,120.93
2010	1,500.70	1,012.98	457.55	308.85	3,280.08
2009	1,498.70	1,281.39	369.75	316.14	3,465.98
SPECIALS NOT ON TAX ROLL					-
TOTALS					<u>17,402.14</u>
	<u>8,685.20</u>	<u>3,698.77</u>	<u>3,884.64</u>	<u>1,133.53</u>	

Out of Pocket Charges

In-Rem charges	258.61
Guardian Ad Litem	
Total Charges	<u>258.61</u>
Total Charges & Taxes	17,660.75



202 W. Front Street – PO Box 113
 Mount Horeb, WI 53572
 608-437-2001
 Sellers Permit/Tax ID: 456-0000033384-03
 FEIN: 39-1982769

Invoice

#150605-55646-6
 06/05/2015

Dale Halfaday
 614 11th ave
 GREEN BAY Wisconsin 54304

Dale Halfaday
 1200 Hasting St
 GREEN BAY Wisconsin 54302

Phone: 9204712196
 Email: dalehafaday@gmail.com

--PAYMENT DEADLINE: Final Winning bids must get board approval on June 8, 2015. Board has the right to reject or accept. Payment is required after approval and prior to 5pm on June 16, 2015 -- You will lose your bidding number if not paid on time --

Online Auction #15327-06 - Brown County, Wisconsin - Tax Delinquent Real Estate - You are a winning bidder. Please contact Wisconsin Surplus prior to the above payment deadline to make payment arrangements.

1. **Payment Methods:**
 - o Send guaranteed checks to Wisconsin Surplus, PO Box 113, Mount Horeb WI 53572.
 - o Wire Transfer Directions available upon request.
 - o Pay at our Office in Mount Horeb Via Cash, Guaranteed Check or Money Order
 - o Credit card, PayPal, Personal & Business Checks are **NOT** acceptable forms of payment - no exceptions
2. **General Contact:** Brown County Treasurer's Office at (920) 448-4074 or BC_Treasurer@co.brown.wi.us
3. **Deed Transfer Contact:** Brown County Treasurer's Office at (920) 448-4074 or BC_Treasurer@co.brown.wi.us
4. **Deed Transfer:** You must notify Brown County Treasurer's Office at (920) 448-4074 or BC_Treasurer@co.brown.wi.us in writing (Fax, Email, Mail) as to who will be the owner of record for the property - So Brown County Treasurer's Office can have the deed drafted properly. Please contact Brown County Treasurer's Office with any questions related to the deed transfer.
5. **Note:** Once payment is received Wisconsin Surplus will notify Buyer and Seller. After payment notification buyer is to contact Brown County Treasurer's Office and relay to them in written form any and all required deed transfer information. At which point all required information is received by Brown County Treasurer's Office they will begin the deed transfer process.

Bidder	Item	Qty	Description	Tax	Amount
55646	7467	1	House, Garage & Lot at 1021 Eastman Ave., Green Bay WI - Address: 1021 Eastman Ave., Green Bay WI 54302 - County Map: Brown County GIS Mapping - Municipality: City of Green Bay - Parcel ID: 7-467 - Opening Bid: 100 - Acres: 8,745 sq ft - Lot Dimensions: 55' x 159' - Zoning: A-Residential – Contact county and local zoning agency for exact zoning. - Type of Access to Property: Off Public Roadway - School District: Green Bay - Structures On Property: Property is an improved lot with a single family dwelling. Assessment records indicate the house to be built in 1908; A 1-story with finished attic; 738 sq.ft. on 1st floor with a finished attic over a portion of the home. 1st floor = Bathroom, Kitchen-dinette & Living room – Attic = 1 loft bedroom – Full unfinished basement and a 2 stall detached garage – Lot dimensions are 55' x 159'; Total of 8,745 sq. ft. lot. Inspection of the property occurred February 25, 2015 and reported. The home appears to be structurally sound and salvageable. Needs cleaning and deferred maintenance completed. Loose and missing carpeting, worn floors. Thick dividing wall between kitchen and living room indicating the living room was most likely an addition to the original house. Low basement ceiling with a sealed combustion furnace and air conditioning . There was no heat in the home at the time of inspection. A detached garage with vinyl siding that was poorly installed over wood. Traffic on Eastman Avenue is divided. Bidders are able to view property from street without trespassing on property due to liability issues. - Property Vacant: Yes - Property Clear of previous owner's personal property: No - Buyer is responsible for removal of any and all personal property, if any - Legal Description: Eastmans ADD LOT 9 BLK 39 - Title Type: Quit Claim Deed - Deed Transfer Fee: 30 - Title Transfer Terms: Deed will be issued upon payment in full. - Clear Title: No abstract, title insurance, or survey will be provided by the seller. The winning bidder is responsible	0.09	19750.00

for recording fees, taxes, special assessments and special charges etc., if any -- as provided in Wis Stat. 75.521(8), (Wisconsin Statutes 2009-2010). Bidders are encouraged to research title.

- **Estimated Yearly Taxes:** Buyer responsible for all future real estate taxes.

- **Defects:**

- **Overall Condition:** Property is being sold "As Is". It is the bidder's responsibility to determine condition and any defects. Bidders are strongly encouraged to make personally inspection prior to bidding. Property is subject to all easements, right-of-way, and restrictions of record, if any. Brown County makes no representation or guarantee with respect to the use, condition, title, access or occupancy of the property. (Caution: Properties may be occupied and you may not be granted access by occupants)

- **County Contact:** Brown County Treasurer's Office at (920) 448-4074 or BC_Treasurer@co.brown.wi.us - 305 E Walnut St., Green Bay, WI 54301; Fax: (920) 448-6341

- Click on Photo or Item # for full Details

Bid total:	19750.00
Premium:	1777.50
Sub-total:	21527.50
Total:	21527.50
Balance Due:	21527.50