

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BROWN COUNTY
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Pursuant to Section 19.84 Wis. Stats., a regular meeting of the Brown County Executive Committee was held on Monday, September 9, 2019 in Room 200 of the Northern Building, 305 E. Walnut Street, Green Bay, WI.

Present: Chair Lund, Supervisor Erickson, Supervisor Sieber, Supervisor Hoyer, Supervisor Van Dyck, Supervisor Buckley
Excused: Supervisor Moynihan
Also Present: Supervisor Tran, Supervisor Borchardt, Supervisor Lefebvre, Supervisor Landwehr, Senior HR Generalist Kara Navin, HR Generalist Jen Scharnweber, Internal Auditor Dan Process, Josh Swanson (Schenck), Corporation Counsel David Hemery, Director of Administration Chad Weininger and Director of Finance Bradley Klingsporn.

I. Call meeting to order.

The meeting was called to order by Chair Tom Lund at 5:30 pm.

II. Approve/modify agenda.

Motion made by Supervisor Sieber, seconded by Supervisor Van Dyck to move Items 7, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 to follow *Comments from the Public*. Vote taken. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

III. Approve/modify Minutes of August 12, 2019.

Motion made by Supervisor Sieber, seconded by Supervisor Hoyer to approve. Vote taken.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Comments from the Public. None.

Although shown in the proper format here, Items 7, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 were taken at this time.

1. Review Minutes of: (None)

Legal Bills

2. Review and Possible Action on Legal Bills to be paid.

Motion made by Supervisor Erickson, seconded by Supervisor Hoyer to pay the legal bills. Vote taken.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Communications

3. Communication from Supervisor Buckley re: Get a copy of the prospectus used to sell the naming rights to the new expo. Also an updated list of who has been given the presentation and the results. *Referred from August County Board.*

Director of Administration Chad Weininger informed this Item was taken up at the last Ed and Rec Committee meeting and it was decided that Ken Wachter of PMI would be invited to the next Ed and Rec meeting to do a presentation.

Motion made by Supervisor Sieber, seconded by Supervisor Hoyer to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4. Communication from Supervisor Buckley re: Updated accounting of the ½% sales tax collected and how it's going to be spent. *Referred from August County Board.*

Weininger informed that he does have all that information in detail, however, upon the advice of Corporation Counsel this should not be discussed in open session. Supervisor Sieber asked if that information would be able to be released at the next meeting and Weininger said it likely would.

Van Dyck commented that he can see holding this, but this is public information and he does not feel we need a closed session. The tax has been already been collected. Lund said we can have a full presentation on this after the lawsuit is over. Weininger reiterated there is a full accounting of all of the tax collected, it is just a matter of presenting it.

Motion made by Supervisor Sieber, seconded by Supervisor Van Dyck to hold until the October Executive Committee meeting. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. From Supervisor Tran: For Corporation Counsel to draft an ordinance for consideration at Executive Committee for the following:

- a) **No Supervisors may serve more than two consecutive terms as chairperson of a standing committee.**
- b) **Supervisor members of standing committees shall be selected at random. *Referred from August County Board.***

Corporation Counsel David Hemery informed he has reviewed the Code and any sections that would be affected by either of these directives have been compiled and are in the agenda packet with the changes that would be necessary shown in red.

With regard to supervisory members of standing committees be selected at random, one standing committee that would be greatly affected by that would be the Executive Committee. It would be easier to do random selections on the other standing committees, although there are only 26 supervisors and if there are 5 members on each standing committee, some supervisors would have to serve on more than 1 committee. The Board would also have to decide if they want to keep Executive Committee the way it is or have it randomly selected. If so, once all 26 supervisors were randomly selected, there would still be 4 more supervisors needed to fill out the committees. Lund said supervisors would have to volunteer for an additional committee and then if there are more than 4 volunteers, than those would have to be randomly selected. Hemery said before we go too far into the actual processes, he mainly wanted to point out to the Committee what sections of the Code would be affected and how it could be done and if the Committee wants to move forward, he can then delve further into suggestions for random processes.

Van Dyck recalled last time this was discussed he felt there were some things within the proposal to consider. He will not support random selection because he does not feel it is the right way to run things. He feels some supervisors have expertise in certain areas and choose their committees accordingly. Further, random selection presents a major problem in continuity when there could be complete turnover of a committee every two years. He will not support this if random selection is included. He feels there is some benefit to having people serve on multiple committees instead of being on the same committee for a number of years. Van Dyck suggested making this simple by saying a supervisor cannot serve on the same committee for x number of years, say 3 consecutive terms. Then a supervisor could serve on another committee for a few terms and then could potentially be reassigned to the original committee. This would also mean that a supervisor could only be the chair of a committee for 6 years. This would take all of this and hone it down and would also provide for turnover on the committees and potential turnover of the chairman every 6 years and he feels this may get to what Tran is seeking.

Supervisor Hoyer said it would not be the worst thing if you get 6 new people on a committee every term. Tran mentioned that it may difficult to keep track of how many years someone has been on a committee. Lund feels Board staff can do a very good job of who is serving on the Committees so that would not be a

problem. Van Dyck indicated we would also have to look at implementation practice to see when we would want to start doing this; whether it be with the current term or the next term but he does not want to go back any further than the current term.

Sieber feels it is good to limit not only the chairmanship, but also the length of time a supervisor can be on a committee as this allows supervisors to gain more perspective through the county and it forces them to dive more into issues that they have not dealt with. Although you may lose some background, this would also allow some fresh perspective to issues. He said supervisors can meet with all the department heads on the committee they are selected to to get up to speed on the departments and their issues. He likes the idea of having people move around the different committees and he would support this.

Discussions continued with regard to Executive Committee and if that should be excluded from the random selection. It was the consensus of the committee to exclude the Executive Committee from the random selection. It was noted that the Board Chair and the Vice chair are selected by the membership every 2 years, so if the membership wants to get rid of someone because they have been on too long, they would not vote them in. Hemery said if the same County Board Chair is in place for more than 6 years, the current provisions say the Chair shall be on Executive Committee and this would have to be changed. Lund suggested this apply to standing committees, excluding Executive Committee. The committee came to the consensus that they would like this written that no supervisor may serve on the same committee for more than 3 consecutive terms, except Executive Committee. Tran feels that 3 terms is too long.

Supervisor Landwehr looks at this from the checks and balances standpoint. There are individuals on the Board who have history, knowledge and life experiences in certain areas and that generally leads them to their committee interest. If the committees are selected randomly, the checks and balances would be lost and a lot of power would be given up to the administration. He is strongly opposed to random selection. With regard to the 3 term limit, Landwehr feels this could be better handled if the County Board Chair at the time would be more open to do more moving around on committees instead of letting everyone who has been on a certain committee for a number of years have first shot of staying on the committee. He would like to see a little shuffling by the Board Chair, but not total randomness.

Supervisor Lefebvre asked if it would work to have all supervisors serve on 2 committees. They could be randomly selected for 1 committee and then get to pick the second one. Lund said that would not work as it is only necessary to have 1 of the supervisors serve on more than 1 committee.

Tran asked if the current term would be considered the first term. The committee agreed that the current term would be the first term that would count to the 3 consecutive terms.

Motion made by Supervisor Van Dyck, seconded by Supervisor Sieber to refer back to Corporation Counsel to make the following changes: Supervisors are limited to serving 3 consecutive terms on the same committee and the 3 consecutive terms are effective beginning with the current term. Vote taken. Ayes: Van Dyck, Sieber, Hoyer, Lund Nay: Erickson MOTION CARRIED 4 TO 1

6. **Communication from Supervisor Van Dyck re: Draft a resolution to sell parcels HB-1424, HB-775, part of HB-764-1, part of HB-754, part of HB-755 and part of HB-749 on or before October 17th. *Referred from August County Board.***

Van Dyck informed these parcels are in addition to what was identified previously and he would like them added to the list. It was also noted that the Resolution should state on or *after* October 17.

Motion made by Supervisor Van Dyck, seconded by Supervisor Erickson to have Corporation Counsel draft a resolution for presentation at the next County Board meeting. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Internal Auditor

7. **Presentation of the 2018 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and Management Communications by Josh Swanson, CliftonLarsonAllen (formally Schenck, SC.) *Note: Reports to be distributed at meeting.***

Josh Swanson of CliftonLarsonAllen introduced himself to the Committee and reported on some of the information contained in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and Management Communications.

CAFR:

-Page 7 – This is the independent auditor’s report and describes management’s responsibility for the financial statements which is to present the financial statements. The auditor’s responsibility is to express an opinion regarding the financial statements. The opinion is that the financial statements are presented fairly in all material respects. That means the auditors would not materially change any of the financial statement numbers, all of the required footnote disclosures are represented and everything is recorded in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. This is a clean opinion and is the best result you can get from an audit.

-Page 8 – There has been a change in accounting principle. The county did implement a new GASB standard related to OPEB. There is not a material individual county plan; this is the participation in the life insurance plan with WRS. This is about a \$3.5 million dollar adjustment that the county did not have before.

-Pages 9 – 21 – These pages are the management’s discussion and analysis. They give a good understanding of the financial statements and touch on the high level of the significant accounts.

-Page 22 – This is the statement of net position as of December 31, 2018. This document shows all of the long term assets and liabilities of the county. Differences from last year include that there is a net pension asset which previously was a net pension liability. This is the county’s participation in WRS and the asset is due primarily to favorable market returns. Long term debt obligations decreased and the general obligation debt went down about \$11 million dollars this year and is anticipated to continue to decrease \$10 – \$12 million dollars a year moving forward. The total net position shows primarily net investment capital assets of \$285 million dollars, which is a pretty heavy, significant portion of the net position, net investment in the county.

Management Communications:

-Page 1 – This goes into a little more detail about what an audit is and how the auditors sample. They also look at internal controls and compliance. Because the county spends more than \$750,000 they are subject to a federal single audit and they are wrapping that up, but there were not any significant issues with that portion of the audit.

-Page 3 – This is bullet points of some of the more significant estimates embedded within the financial statements such as useful life of capital assets, participation in WRS and incurred but not reported liabilities. All of these items are subject to estimates and Swanson noted actual results may differ from the estimates.

-Pages 5 – 8 – These focus on the general fund, fund balance and all of the different classifications. Charts show the trends over time. One of the key numbers is the unassigned fund balance and that has not changed significantly in recent years.

-Pages 9 – 15 – These pages focus on the enterprise funds and there is nothing unusual or significant in this year’s numbers.

-Page 16 - Swanson noted that the health insurance fund had a positive increase change of about \$700,000 in the net position this year.

-Page 17 – There has been a new accounting standard for leases as shown. The Airport will see the biggest impact but the operating leases and capital leases are all rolled into one and the full value of the leases get recorded on the balance sheet.

Supervisor Van Dyck said he appreciates the review, but because this was just received by the Committee this evening, he asked if this can be held until the next meeting or if a motion can be made to refer to the full County Board. Internal Auditor Dan Process noted that he did send these documents to the supervisors electronically last week. Van Dyck feels that approving this at this meeting is approving it as is but nobody has had a chance to review it.

Motion made by Supervisor Van Dyck, seconded by Supervisor Sieber to refer to the full County Board for approval. *Motion withdrawn; no vote taken.*

Motion made by Supervisor Van Dyck, seconded by Supervisor Sieber to hold until the October Executive Committee meeting. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Internal Auditor Dan Process noted that in the future he will not schedule a review of the CAFR and Management Communications until the month following distribution of the documents to the Supervisors.

Sieber said these documents contain a lot of good information and he feels having Swanson do a presentation on this at the County Board would be a good idea.

8. **Board of Supervisors Budget Status Financial Report (Unaudited) & Veterans' Recognition Subcommittee Budget Status Report (Unaudited) – July 31, 2019.**

Motion made by Supervisor Sieber, seconded by Supervisor Hoyer to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

9. **Status Update: August 1 – August 31, 2019.**

Process talked about the proposed 2020 audit and work plan and said it is based on feedback he received from administration and supervisors. The projects listed are not necessarily listed in order and Sieber asked if Process was thinking he could get all of the projects done. Process responded that the one dealing with financial system access may take quite a bit of time, but some of the other projects will likely take much less time. He noted changes to this plan can be made throughout the year based on recommendations of this Committee.

Motion made by Supervisor Sieber, seconded by Supervisor Hoyer to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Corporation Counsel

10. **Discussion and Possible Action regarding Lobbyist Ordinance (copy to be handed out at meeting).**

Hemery provided a copy of the proposed Ordinance, a copy of which is attached. He summarized that previously there was a more expansive proposal that included the county establishing a registration process for lobbyists. After discussing this, a compromise was reached that anyone who is already required to register as a lobbyist with the State or City of Green Bay would have to identify themselves as a lobbyist and state the issue and organization they are lobbying on behalf of prior to speaking to a Brown County elected or appointed official, board or committee. This language is set out in the handout. This would take the entire burden of developing and implementing a registration process off of Corporation Counsel and the County Clerk.

Motion made by Supervisor Erickson, seconded by Supervisor Sieber to approve. *Motion withdrawn, no vote taken.*

Tran said the other intention of this was to have some sort of verbiage on every agenda that would state per the ordinance anyone who is a lobbyist needs to identify themselves and failure to comply would result in a fine or some other forfeiture. This could be listed right above the *comments from the public* item on the agendas and, in addition, the Committee Chair or Board Chair could read the language to be sure that everyone is aware of it. Erickson noted that not everyone who wants to address a committee speaks under comments from the public; often the floor is opened during a particular item to allow people to speak.

Tran recalled that a number of people spoke previously regarding the marijuana issue, some of whom were lobbyists, but only one person identified them self as such. Not all average people who care about the community know who the lobbyists are. She wants it to be known to the public before they speak that they need to disclose if they are a lobbyist.

Hemery reiterated the ordinance would be a law that requires anyone who is registered with the State or City as a lobbyist would need to disclose that they are a lobbyist, what the issue is and who they are lobbying for before speaking with public officials at committees or board meetings. Tran understands this, but questions how someone would know that there is a county ordinance on this. Lund and Erickson both noted that just because someone is required to disclose that they are a lobbyist does not mean they will do it. Hemery said that is where the enforcement part comes in. The ordinance states that if there is an alleged violation it will be referred to Corporation Counsel along with supporting evidence.

Lund suggesting posting something on the screens in the council chambers before the Board meetings that say lobbyists who are registered with the City or State must identify themselves. He does not think many lobbyists come to Committee meetings. Hoyer suggested that Committee chairs could ask those who wish to speak at Committee meetings if they are a lobbyist at the same time they are asked for their name and address. Tran noted that not all chairs may want to do this and that concerns her.

Van Dyck said he does not have a problem with this, but he will not vote in support of it because he does not like the reference to the City of Green Bay because maybe the City of De Pere or the Village of Ashwaubenon or some other municipality may have an ordinance that we are not following. Hemery commented that an alternative to deleting reference to the City of Green Bay would be to add language that says *City or Green Bay or any other municipality in Brown County*. Hemery said individuals that lobby the county are much more likely to meet the City of Green Bay requirements than they are to meet the State of Wisconsin requirement which are written more towards individuals that lobby state officials. Striking the City of Green Bay would gut the ordinance. Van Dyck suggested that language say *State of Wisconsin or any municipalities located in Brown County*.

Hemery suggested there be a place on the forms filled out at County Board by those who wish to address the Board to indicate if they are a lobbyist instead of putting this up on the screens. This could also be done at the committee level. That way there would be a record of a violation.

Motion made by Supervisor Van Dyck, seconded by Supervisor Sieber to amend the Ordinance by striking reference to *City of Green Bay* and replacing with *any other municipality in Brown County* and include ordinance language regarding a check the box form and bring back to Executive Committee. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11. Oral Report.

Hemery informed oral arguments took place at the end of August on the tax lawsuit and went well and he expects a decision sometime this month. Lund asked if any other counties joined Brown County on this. Hemery responded that there was an amicus brief from WCA and DOR was also joined as an involuntary third party defendant because they administer the tax code, but they did not really take a position for or against other than to say it would be extremely difficult to fashion any sort of remedy regarding this should the Court rule against Brown County. Hemery declined talking further about the tax lawsuit until the decision is received from the Court.

Hemery also talked briefly about the Securis issue and said both sides agreed to mediation and a mediator has been selected. The mediation will take place later in September and he will keep the Committee advised of the status of this.

Motion made by Supervisor Hoyer, seconded by Supervisor Sieber to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Budget Adjustments

12. Public Works - Budget Adjustment Request (19-073): Reallocation of more than 10% of the funds originally appropriated between any of the levels of appropriation.

Motion made by Supervisor Sieber, seconded by Supervisor Erickson to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

13. **Public Works - Budget Adjustment Request (19-074): Reallocation of more than 10% of the funds originally appropriated between any of the levels of appropriation.**

Motion made by Supervisor Erickson, seconded by Supervisor Sieber to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolutions & Ordinances

14. **Resolution to Approve Electric and Gas Line Easement Regarding the Fox River State Trail.**

Motion made by Supervisor Van Dyck, seconded by Supervisor Hoyer to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

15. **Resolution to Approve Underground Telecommunication Easement Regarding Mountain Bay Trail.**

Motion made by Supervisor Van Dyck, seconded by Supervisor Erickson to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

16. **Resolution Regarding Table of Organization Change for the Health and Human Services Department – Community Services Division Account Clerk I Position.**

Motion made by Supervisor Hoyer, seconded by Supervisor Sieber to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

17. **Resolution Regarding Table of Organization Change for UW Extension – Add Hours for LTE After School Instructors.**

Motion made by Supervisor Erickson, seconded by Supervisor Sieber to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

18. **An Ordinance to Strike Subsections 3.01 (3) and (4) of Chapter 3 of the Brown County Code of Ordinances (Strike Prevailing Wage Law Language).**

Hemery informed that counties used to be required to pay prevailing wages on certain public works projects in excess of \$25,000, but this has now been repealed. The county could possibly pass an ordinance setting forth what we would pay, but the county cannot comply with the prevailing wage language. Weininger informed this came about because our responsible bidder ordinance that was passed was sent out along with our purchasing ordinance to some parties and they came back saying the portion regarding the prevailing wage was not legal and this ordinance was drafted to delete that.

Supervisor Buckley arrived at 6:33 pm.

Sieber expressed concern about cut rate contractors, but Hemery and Lund said that is all addressed in the responsible bidder ordinance that sets forth all the requirements. Sieber will vote against this tonight so he can review the responsible bidder ordinance.

Motion made by Supervisor Erickson, seconded by Supervisor Van Dyck to approve. Vote taken. Ayes: Erickson, Van Dyck, Lund, Hoyer, Buckley Nay: Sieber. MOTION CARRIED 5 to 1

19. **An Ordinance to Amend Subsections 4.155 (2) and (3) of Chapter 4 of the Brown County Code of Ordinances (Benefits Advisory Subcommittee).**

Hemery said this ordinance has been amended at the request of the Benefits Advisory Committee to clean up the language to be more consistent with their current situation. Small changes were made and the proposed changes are highlighted.

Motion made by Supervisor Van Dyck, seconded by Supervisor Sieber to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- 20. Resolution Regarding Table of Organization Change for the Human Resources Department – Deletion and Addition of Positions.**

Motion made by Supervisor Sieber, seconded by Supervisor Hoyer to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Senior HR Generalist Kara Navin introduced herself and thanked the Committee for their support. HR Generalist Jen Scharnweber introduced herself as well and also thanked the Committee for their support.

Department of Administration & Human Resources

- 21. Discussion and possible action re: Employee parking downtown.**

Weininger informed this can be received and placed on file as he has not heard back from the City on this.

Motion made by Supervisor Hoyer, seconded by Supervisor Sieber to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- 22. Director of Administration and Human Resources Oral Report.**

Weininger reported administration is finishing up the budget and they should have the final numbers later in the week.

Motion made by Supervisor Erickson, seconded by Supervisor Buckley to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

County Executive – No Report.

Other

- 23. Such other matters as authorized by law. None.**

- 24. Adjourn.**

Motion made by Supervisor Sieber, seconded by Supervisor Hoyer to adjourn at 6:39 pm. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Respectfully submitted,

Therese Giannunzio
Administrative Specialist

AN ORDINANCE TO CREATE CHAPTER 44 OF THE BROWN COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES ENTITLED "LOBBYIST REQUIREMENTS"

THE BROWN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1 Chapter 44 entitled "Lobbyist Requirements" is created to read:

**CHAPTER 44
LOBBYIST REQUIREMENTS.**

44.01 PURPOSE. In order to promote openness and transparency in the legislative and administrative processes of Brown County Government, this Ordinance provides requirements for persons or entities to engage in **immediately prior** to lobbying Brown County Elected or Appointed Officials, Boards and/or Committees, and applies to any person or entity that is registered as a lobbyist with: 1) the State of Wisconsin, per *Wisconsin Statutes, Chapter 13*, as it exists now and as it may be amended; and/or 2) the City of Green Bay, pursuant to the *Green Bay Code of Ordinances, Chapter 6*, as it exists now and as it may be amended.

44.02 DEFINITIONS. For the purposes of this Chapter, lobbying is defined as follows:

(1) "Lobbying" means the act of attempting to influence legislative or administrative action, or inaction, by engaging in oral or written communication with any Brown County Elected or Appointed Official, Board and/or Committee.

44.03 REQUIRED ACTS. (1) **Immediately prior** to any person or entity that is registered as a lobbyist with: 1) the State of Wisconsin per *Wisconsin Statutes, Chapter 13*; and/or 2) the City of Green Bay, pursuant to the *Green Bay Code of Ordinances, Chapter 6*, engaging in lobbying with any Brown County Elected or Appointed Official, Board and/or Committee, said person or entity shall identify themselves to said Brown County Elected or Appointed Official, Board and/or Committee as being a registered lobbyist, and shall state the issue and organization they are lobbying on behalf of.

44.04 PENALTIES. (1) Each time a person or entity commits a violation of Section 44.03, above, it shall be considered a separate violation.

(2) Any person or entity who violates Section 44.03, above, shall receive a warning for their first violation, and shall forfeit \$100.00 for each subsequent violation received after being so warned.

44.05 ENFORCEMENT. (1) Alleged violations of Section 44.03, above, along with supporting evidence of such violations, shall be reported and submitted to the Brown County Corporation Counsel Office, which is charged with enforcing this Ordinance.

Respectfully submitted,

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Approved By:

COUNTY EXECUTIVE (Date)

COUNTY CLERK (Date)

COUNTY BOARD CHAIR (Date)

19-0560

Authored by: Corporation Counsel at the direction of the Executive Committee

Approved by: Corporation Counsel

Fiscal Note: This ordinance does not require an appropriation from the General Fund. Funds are available within the Corporation Counsel's budget to cover the enforcement costs.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ROLL CALL # _____

Motion made by Supervisor _____

Seconded by Supervisor _____

SUPERVISOR	DIST. #	AYES	NAYS	ABSTAIN	EXCUSED
SIEBER	1				
DE WANE	2				
NICHOLSON	3				
HOYER	4				
GRUSZYNSKI	5				
LEFEBVRE	6				
ERICKSON	7				
BORCHARDT	8				
EVANS	9				
VANDER LEEST	10				
BUCKLEY	11				
LANDWEHR	12				
DANTINNE, JR	13				

SUPERVISOR	DIST. #	AYES	NAYS	ABSTAIN	EXCUSED
BRUSKY	14				
BALLARD	15				
KASTER	16				
VAN DYCK	17				
LINSSEN	18				
KNEISZEL	19				
DESLAURIERS	20				
TRAN	21				
MOYNIHAN, JR.	22				
SUENNEN	23				
SCHADEWALD	24				
LUND	25				
VACANT	26				

Total Votes Cast _____

Motion: Adopted _____ Defeated _____ Tabled _____