

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE BROWN COUNTY
PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT & TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE**

Pursuant to Section 19.84 Wis. Stats., a regular meeting of the **Brown County Planning, Development & Transportation Committee** was held on Monday, February 24, 2020 in Room 200 of the Northern Building, 305 E. Walnut Street, Green Bay, WI

Present: Chair Bernie Erickson, Supervisor Tran, Supervisor Deslauriers, Supervisor Dantine, Supervisor Kaster

Also Present: Extension Director Judy Knudsen, Port and Resource Recovery Director Dean Haen, Public Works Director Paul Fontecchio, Facility Manager Jon Morehouse, Airport Director Marty Piette, Land Con Citizen Representative Stan Kaczmarek; Director of Administration Chad Weininger, Corporation Counsel David Hemery; Supervisors Borchardt and Van Dyke; and other interested parties.

I. Call Meeting to Order.

The meeting was called to order by Chair Bernie Erickson at 6:15 pm.

II. Approve/Modify Agenda.

Motion made by Supervisor Dantine, seconded by Supervisor Kaster to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

III. Approve/Modify Minutes of January 27, 2020.

Motion made by Supervisor Deslauriers, seconded by Supervisor Kaster to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Chairman Erickson thanked Planning Director Chuck Lamine for all his years of service. The county will be missing a very educated individual, knowledgeable and very helpful. Thank you for caring and educating and working with the committee all this time. Lamine responded 37.5 years go pretty quick but thanked the committee and to the three that served on the Planning Commission. It had been an honor working for the people of Brown County and for the committee and he wanted to thank them for that, there were a lot of issues through the years but he felt they had done a good job, there were a lot of good things accomplished.

Comments from the Public None.

Consent Agenda

1. Harbor Commission (December 16, 2019).

Motion made by Supervisor Dantine, seconded by Supervisor Kaster to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Planning Commission Board of Directors (December 4, 2019).

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster, seconded by Supervisor Deslauriers to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Communications

3. Communication from Supervisor Van Dyck re: To Amend Chapter 2 of the County Code of Ordinances, Section 2.07 (Planning, Development & Transportation Committee) and Section 2.11 (Education and Recreation Committee), changing the oversight committee for U.W. Extension from PD&T to Ed & Rec Committee. Referred from February County Board.

Supervisor Van Dyck stated this was an extension to work he's doing in preparation for a communication that he put forth on the Executive Committee. He's going through Chapter 2 of Ordinances and looking at oversight

committees and to him it seemed the Extension would more logically belong under the Education & Recreation Committee. If you look at the mission statement and the program description it certainly was an education based department and probably more fitting as they worked with the Library, Parks, Fair and Museum.

Motion made by Supervisor Dantine, seconded by Supervisor to open the floor to allow interested parties to speak. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Stan Kaczmarek – Land Conservation Subcommittee Citizen Rep

Kaczmarek could see both sides and where it could fit with Ed & Rec but if they move the Extension it left Land Con who worked with and were in the same building with Extension. His recommendation, with seeing the progress that's been going the last few years, would be to leave it alone. He would like to hear comments from the Extension Director.

Motion made by Supervisor Dantine, seconded by Supervisor Kaster to return to regular order of business. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Knudsen informed she had spoken with the County Executive about the pros and cons. It needed to be a decision made between Ed & Rec and PD&T. She just wanted to make sure wherever they went their department was well supported by the committee.

Van Dyck appreciated they were in the same building but the mission statements were completely different from one another. The agricultural aspects at the Extension were there but as time has gone on, they had expanded into many areas that were far from being specific to agriculture. The interaction with other departments within the county were as much or more than the agriculture side. His intention would be effective with the new board term starting in April.

Corporation Counsel Hemery informed this would need to go to Executive Committee as well.

Kaster referred to last month's Director's Report and noted their programs were all tied very closely to Land Conservation. If he would move it, he would move it under Land Conservation. Dantine agreed, Knudsen has done a great deal for farmers and with agriculture with the gardens in Brown County. Erickson also agreed, as far as the area Knudsen works with, it was highly agricultural. Working with families on food plots, kids raising food and selling it at the market. She even expands into Public Works with seeding for pollinators, which was a big project with the state. Erickson felt they had to rewrite the mission statement.

Van Dyck responded he understood there was an Ag connection but there was little to no agriculture going on at 4H clubs.

Supervisor Borchardt questioned, with the mission statement for Extension, was that all Extensions across the state? Knudsen responded, very close, they tweak it a little. Borchardt stated there wasn't a lot of wiggle room and they can't change it. Erickson stated if you go through other counties, Extension is with Land Conservation specifically. Borchardt felt there were opportunities with Ed & Rec and their departments to make Extension more visible in the community. She didn't feel that was being done under PD&T.

Deslauriers didn't think there was a committee that had been more supportive of Knudsen's work in every way possible. He understood Van Dyck's call to move some of the educational things, there was value there too. He felt there were some opportunities to work closer with the library and getting more programs out to other areas in the county. Whether it belonged in this committee or Ed & Rec he would support Knudsen in whatever direction she felt would be the best for the community knowing her mission and work.

Kaster can understand where Van Dyck is coming from but if it were to be changed, he felt it would be a constant coming back to this committee with regard to the Ag. He reiterated it may be more appropriate under Land Con as there were a lot of connections.

Motion made by Supervisor Dantine, seconded by Supervisor Kaster receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4. Communication from Supervisor Tran to send a resolution kindly requesting PFAS testing to: 1. New Water Treatment Facility to test Green Bay's drinking water; 2. Johnson Controls to test the Peshtigo River where it runs into the Bay of Green Bay.

Tran provided an amendment to her communication (changes are reflected above).

Dantine informed Tyco tested the Peshtigo River already. Tran believed they tested the site but nothing further down. Hemery stated this communication would also have to go to Executive and asked the committee to forward it. It also stated test drinking water, he believe drinking water came from Lake Michigan and not the Bay and NEW Water does sewage treatment. Tran stated the water circulated. She noted it was two separate issues but the same thing. She provided a map of Marinette and Peshtigo (attached).

Hemery informed last month Corporation Counsel was directed to draft a resolution in support of an Assembly bill, which passed the Assembly last week and still had to go to the Senate. He spoke to the main takeaways and requirements based on what was being reported. As of today the DNR was in the process of providing state certification of laboratories for PFAS analysis and setting standards.

The part Deslauriers felt had value was having NEW Water test for PFAS. The DNR made 152 requests for water treatment facilities to test for PFAS and only two complied on a voluntary basis. NEW Water was a separate entity, outside of county control, but there would be value in requesting them to do testing due to the concerns of discharge and contamination to the Bay. Hemery read NEW Waters response to the request as, just because they were one of many that put water into the Bay, why were they being targeted? They treat the water and were not a PFAS source. They discharge it but there were a lot of discharges. Hemery questioned the main goal? Deslauriers stated the whole point was just like the landfills, they were not the point source of the contamination but to make educated decisions on how to deal with regulation and what would actually work, they had to know the discharge contamination at this point, getting a baseline. It wasn't a matter of testing the Bay, he wanted to know the extent of contamination coming out of NEW Water so they could make educated decisions going forward. Ultimately he believed NEW Water would likely treat the landfill leachate when the landfill went live. Dantine understood they would get some number but it doesn't tell where it's coming from. Deslauriers agreed and ultimately the producers should be held accountable, but for the foreseeable decades, they will have to be dealing with it in waste water, drinking water and leachate. Once the EPA gets to a certain point and declare as a hazardous material there will be some accountability.

Responding to Kaster, it was Haen's understanding that PFAS were everywhere. In his opinion, solid waste as well as waste water treatment plants were taking the same stance. They need firm regulations. This was all emerging and that's why they were reluctant to test. Testing procedures were undefined so they don't have good testing protocol or certified labs and you don't even know what the numbers mean. It was a work in progress and fell to the state to help figure out what it all means.

Erickson noted they were off the communication but added, to single out NEW Water, it is fine if they are willing to give a test but there was as much or more treatment water going into the Fox River south of Green Bay than there was coming out of the Green Bay area. Going north, Marinette and Door County, they all have treatment plants and were dumping as well. Reiterating Kaster, all of the mills and tons of manufacturers were putting PFAs in the water. There were septic systems all around that went into groundwater which went to the river. You can't stop it. He briefly spoke in favor of having personal meetings with representative's verses sending resolutions. He met with State Representative Nygren two weeks ago and he was very confident they were going to get something done. Before they single out one company they should determine all of the companies putting PFAS in the Fox. Deslauriers can appreciate that but the county directly contributed to NEW Water through the landfill leachate.

Deslauriers stated they had tested and were relying to a certain degree on the baseline testing for South landfill. Haen informed the data sat in-house and didn't have to turn it in or bring it to the County Board. Once there were protocols, then they may have to do it again. Deslauriers point was the county already did it. Erickson interjected that they were way off the subject and suggested getting back on track.

Tran re-amended her communication to have NEW Water Treatment Facility test the discharge of the water going into the Bay, and request Green Bay and Brown County Water to test the drinking water. The reason she was concerned, she was looking at data for Ashwaubenon and got water from Green Bay and there were at least 13 contaminants that exceeded the human health hazard and that's why she wanted to know where the water was coming from and what was in it. Hemery stated there was like 1,000 PFAS chemicals, what was she asking to be tested? Deslauriers responded, the DNR has specified 32 chemicals as particular interest and that's what the county tested for too.

Responding to Tran, Weininger didn't think NEW Water would let the county go into their private facility to test. He felt NEW Water's position was they didn't want to test until the EPA set the guidelines so they know what they were testing for. As a County Board they didn't have authority over this issue. It was specifically EPA and the state that had oversight that can mandate those things. Weininger explained how the standard range was set and when testing was required.

Supervisor Deslauriers suggested making a motion to send a request to NEW Waste Treatment Facility to test its discharge for PFAs contamination, the same 32 compounds listed by the DNR, and for municipal water providers within Brown County to test for levels of PFAs contamination in the drinking water and report back to Brown County. Note vote taken.

Kaster stated there were other sewage treatment facilities and questioned if it would be better to request this from all of them? Deslauriers stated the only reason for the exclusion was it was the only one the county was dealing with directly, but it was fine either way.

After further discussions, Dantine suggested sending a resolution to the DNR stating there were concerns in Brown County because of PFAS in drinking water. Erickson felt that would make more sense and you can include all the contributors. Hemery informed the Wisconsin PFAS Action Council (WisPAC) was developing and approving a draft action plan on April 16th in response to growing concerns about PFAS, a resolution now to the DNR would get there prior to approval.

Motion made by Supervisor Deslauriers, seconded by Supervisor Tran to direct Corporation Counsel to prepare a resolution to send to the DNR indicating Brown County has concerns regarding PFAs and water contamination and the county would like baseline testing to be done by the state for drinking and waste water, and forward this to Executive Committee. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. **Communication from Supervisor Deslauriers re: That Brown County test the wells at and, to the extent possible, around the East and West Landfills to determine the extent of PFAS contamination at those sites. And that Brown County test the East and West Landfill leachate prior to and after treatment to determine how effective the treatment currently used is in reducing PFAS contamination. Referred from February County Board.**

Deslauriers felt the county was two years away from opening up the south landfill. The intent was to get an idea of where the county was at with the east and west landfill so they can make the best decisions possible for the plan of operation going forward. He knew there was nothing in there about PFAS, nor there should be at this point, there was no regulation, so he was trying to move the needle a little bit. He spoke with Natasha from the DNR about where the regulation was. The regulation would be finalized slightly after the landfill opened so it didn't lend itself well to planning for the isolation or mitigation of PFAS contaminated items. While it was in everything, it was into everything to varying degrees. He felt the second part of his communication may not be doable but the first part might be a good indicator of what they will have to deal with with the south landfill. The south landfill, they didn't have municipal water supply providing water for the people living around there. These were all private wells.

Responding to Deslauriers, Haen informed they were doing environmental monitoring and briefly explained to what extent. He informed the testing they did had no risk, they didn't representatively sample and there was no EPA method. Anything beyond that, there was risk, legal liability, significant cost, not that it's not important, they were actively working in state associations to help the DNR figure out these rules and regulations. Until those are set, that's why everyone was reluctant to do it. You'll spend money and then

change the protocol but then have to test again anyway. Until the DNR and EPA establishes rules and regulations, you wait, participate, learn and educate yourself. The DNR was at the last meeting and they don't know. Deslauriers questioned if it would be reasonable to change it to reflect once the protocol and the facilities are finalized that they move forward with testing. If they had the facilities, they could proactively start the process before the standards were already out. Haen felt they needed a number to figure out things and until there was a number, they don't know what it means.

Kaster questioned if they tested around the landfills, how did they know it came from there? Deslauriers stated they will never know if it absolutely came from there but if they see a cluster of issues around those landfills, then it begs further testing, a second look because they will be implementing the same type of operational procedures of those two landfills at the south landfill where there was no municipal water supply. He felt it was an ounce of prevention and little downside to doing it.

Deslauriers couldn't think of another way to get information than to do this type of testing. Erickson pointed out that the DNR recommends you test your well once a year and there was money set aside by the state if you have a problem with your well you can reclaim up to 75% of the cost of repairing or replacing the well to get it right. There was a lot of personal responsibility on the landowner to check their well. Like Kaster said, there are so many things around the east landfill that could contribute to that plus our own septic systems. He felt they should wait for standards. The county was an arm of the state and the state was going to give the county directions and tell them exactly what to do. Kaster questioned if the county could ban the fire foam? Haen informed they could be more stringent than the state but didn't know of any landfills that did that. If things were banned, they're banned. It was difficult. It was easier to operate underneath firm regulations, treatment and well understood situations.

In response to further discussions, Haen informed his Operations Manager was on the DNR's PFAS Technical Advisory group. He noted another suggestion that was made was contacting legislators, they were part of the process and oversaw the DNR.

Tran agreed with Deslauriers and felt they needed a baseline. She would like to be proactive. She noted PFAS could be incinerated per the EPA, it breaks up the chemical. Haen informed if they were banned and regulated by the state, the owners of the materials would have to pay to send them to an incinerator of destruction. There were none located in Wisconsin but there were many industrial incinerators around the country. Hemery stated if it passed regulation, corporations would have to follow the state regulation.

When talking about testing for PFAS Hemery felt it was important to talk about exactly what they were testing for as he wasn't aware they were more than one chemical until recently. He noted there was no liability as long as they were following state laws and there weren't any on this, but it looked like there was going to be soon.

Kaster reiterated there were all kinds of different things in all kinds of different places a long time ago that no one knew anything about to go and test. How can you say it was from a landfill? Haen responded, you do more testing. Existing sites will be complicated to deal with but they will have to scientifically try to determine the source of PFAS and where they were. Tran stated they don't know because they didn't do anything before and that's why it's relevant now to have a baseline. Haen responded they've done that.

Motion made by Supervisor Deslauriers, seconded by Supervisor Dantine to refer to Corporation Counsel to include in that request to legislator and DNR that they expedite the process of getting PFA standards and regulations in place as they pertain to wastewater treatment, landfill operations and waters of the state, and also bring this back in April. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Port & Resource Recovery

6. WDOT Harbor Assistance Program Resolution and Statement of Intentions – Request for Approval.

Motion made by Supervisor Dantine, seconded by Supervisor Tran to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- **Resolution Approving Three-Year Statement of Intentions for Wisconsin Department of Transportation's Harbor Assistance Program.**

Port & Resource Recovery Director Haen informed this was done yearly, basically a planning document for the state, putting all potential grant projects together. There was no obligation on the county nor to the users that were part of the grant.

Motion made by Supervisor Dantine, seconded by Supervisor Tran to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7. Emerging Contaminant of Concern PFAS – Update.

Deslauriers thanked Haen for taking the time for providing a lot of good information and putting it in the packet.

Motion made by Supervisor Dantine, seconded by Supervisor Deslauriers to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8. Director's Report – Update.

Haen spoke to the written report in the agenda packet.

Motion made by Supervisor Deslauriers, seconded by Supervisor Kaster to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Extension

9. Budget Adjustment Request (20-012): Any increase in expenses with an offsetting increase in revenue.

Responding to Deslauriers, Knudsen informed they did a manure study with a student intern about six years ago and this was a follow-up to that, looking at manure spills across the state.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster, seconded by Supervisor Dantine to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

10. Resolution Regarding Table of Organization Change for UW Extension LTE Conservation Student Intern.

Motion made by Supervisor Dantine, seconded by Supervisor Tran to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11. Director's Report.

Knudsen reported on the following:

- March 3-4, 2020 was their Landscaper Conference @ Liberty Hall in the Valley. They had 101 participants for day 1 and 120 for day 2.
- Directory Workshop on Thursday. They had over 30 sign up.
- Master Gardener Saturday Series. 63 signed up.
- All 4-H programs for spring are full. She talked to staff about doing some STEM in Morrison or Wrightstown.
- Their Ag Agent had a data jam for farmers. Worked with 20 farmers to look at what data was telling them.
- Their Jail garden was moving forward and may have a potential funder for materials. There was a lot of interest. What's raised will be donated to local food pantries.
- Literacy LEAN Project with BC Library was moving forward, UW-Madison was contributing some dollars to the project. Waiting for the jail to install their new video conferencing equipment and they will start their pilot in April with a handful of inmates who have children between 3 and 8 to read or do an activity

with their child for a half hour of scheduled time. Hoping to maintain a bond between the inmate and their child/ren.

Dantinne thanked Knudsen for the great job at the STEM Center with Taste of Wisconsin, it was a great event. Close to 175 in attendance. Food was great and it was well received.

Deslauriers reached out to the Morrison Town Board strongly encouraging them to be welcoming with Extension to use their facility for STEM/4H programming.

Motion made by Supervisor Dantinne, seconded by Supervisor Tran to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Public Works

12. PW-15 Public Works Family Bathroom Plan.

This was sent to Public Works Director Paul Fontecchio to create the policy.

Motion made by Supervisor Deslauriers, seconded by Supervisor Tran to approve the PW family bathroom plan as written. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

13. Summary of Operations Report.

Motion made by Supervisor Tran, seconded by Supervisor Dantinne to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

14. Director's Report.

Fontecchio spoke to the CTH B Retaining Wall and 2019-2020 Snowfall noted in his Director's Report in the agenda packet.

Replying to Dantinne, Fontecchio stated they had a Courthouse Security meeting this week Wednesday at noon, Supervisor Deneys will be attending. They were bringing four options forward for discussion.

Weininger informed the DA was able to absorb costs for new furniture for the new DAs. They were looking at moving the Treatment Court people out of the building due to potential offenders seeing people with victim protection. There was no budgetary impact that the board would have to approve, and the other move should be taken care of without approval as well as no additional funds were needed.

Motion made by Supervisor Dantinne, seconded by Supervisor Kaster to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Airport

15. 2019 – 2020 Carryover Funds.

Motion made by Supervisor Dantinne, seconded by Supervisor Deslauriers to approve. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

16. 12+ Hour Shift Report.

Dantinne had concerns when employees are working 23.5 hours a day. Director Piette informed their shifts start at 7am, if snow starts early they bring them in at 3am until midnight or 2:30am but there were several periods of time of 2-3 hours or more where there were no flights which gives them an opportunity to take a break, rest or sleep for a few hours. They keep them on clock when they are at the airport knowing they may need them at a moment notice.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster, seconded by Supervisor Tran to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

17. Director's Report.

a. Passenger Traffic Update.

There were no cancelations in January from airlines which was great considering last year they had quite a number of cancelations. They saw a 22.1% increase over January 2019. 8% was American Airlines increase, Delta was almost 6% and United Airlines was up 31%. They didn't have Frontier last year and accounted for a 7% portion of an increase. Operating well and carrying more passengers.

b. Projects.

- i. **Exit Lane Breach Control (ELBC).**
- ii. **Restaurant Rehabilitation.**

Rehabbing on the three restaurants started about two weeks ago and is coming along and looking really nice. They installed barn wood on side walls, repainted and added Green Bay feel murals. Redoing all the tables, chairs and bar top. They added patio areas with wood floors and half walls in the concourse area. The restaurant in 2019 was up +20% in sales which was part in due to passenger traffic and updates to menu.

In addition, Milwaukee Airport started a coat, \$2 a day, max \$10 and Green Bay thought it was a great idea. They had a conversation with Air Host, the restaurant vendor, and they jumped on the idea. They will start a coat check probably next week already; coat racks and garment bags were in. They didn't have 24 hour staff so Public Safety will be helping out. Plans are for March and April, to see how it goes.

Motion made by Supervisor Dantine, seconded by Supervisor Deslauriers to receive and place on file. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Planning & Land Services; Planning Commission; Zoning – No agenda items.

Other

18. Acknowledging the bills.

Motion made by Supervisor Dantine, seconded by Supervisor Erickson to acknowledge receipt of the bills. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

19. Such other matters as authorized by law. None.

20. Adjourn.


Motion made by Supervisor Dantine, seconded by Supervisor Kaster to adjourn at 8:53 pm. Vote taken. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Respectfully submitted,

Alicia A. Loehlein
Administrative Coordinator

MARINETTE & PESHTIGO AREA MAP


WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
 Remediation & Redevelopment Program
 Nov 19, 2019 cts



Map Symbol	Facility Name - Address	BARTS Case
Blue Star	KCI/Yco Stanton (PFAS) 5 Stanton St Marinette, WI Case No. 02-38-581955	BARTS Activity
Green Star	KCI/Yco Fire Training Center 2700 Industrial Parkway Marinette, WI Case No. 02-38-58094	BARTS Activity
Purple Triangle	ChemDesign 2 Stanton St Marinette, WI Case No. 02-38-58352	BARTS Activity
Red Square	City of Marinette Biopolis Landspreading fields - See Inset Map	BARTS Activity
Yellow Square	City of Marinette - City of Peshtigo Biopolis Landspreading fields - See Inset Map	BARTS Activity
Black Square	City of Peshtigo Biopolis Landspreading fields - See Inset Map	BARTS Activity
Grey Star	KCI/Yco Warehouse/TEST Facility 3000 Whole-aki Rd Marinette, WI	BARTS Activity
Star with Arrow	KCI/Yco Test Facility 150 Pine St Peshtigo, WI	BARTS Activity
Circle with Arrow	Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP)	BARTS Activity

The data shown on this map have been obtained from various sources, and are of varying age, reliability, and resolution. This map is not intended to be used for navigation, nor is this map an authoritative source of information about legal land ownership or public access. Users of this map should confirm the ownership of land through other means in order to avoid trespassing. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made regarding accuracy, completeness, or reliability of the information depicted on this map.

MARINETTE & PESHTIGO AREA - INSET MAP



Map Symbol	Facility Name - Address	BARTS Code
Blue Star	ICJ/Tyro 1 Station St Marinette, WI	ICJ/Tyro Station (PFAS) Case No. 02-35-581955
Green Star	ICJ/Tyro Fire Training Center 2700 Industrial Parkway Marinette, WI	ICJ/Tyro FTE (PFAS) Case No. 02-35-580694
Purple Triangle	Chem-Delap 2 Station St Marinette, WI	Chem-Delap (PFAS) Case No. 02-35-543852
Red Square	City of Marinette Biologicals Landspreading Fields - See Inset Map	ICJ/Tyro (Biologicals) Case No. 02-35-583856
Yellow Square	City of Marinette Biologicals Landspreading Fields - See Inset Map	ICJ/Tyro (Biologicals) Case No. 02-35-583856
Black Square	City of Peshigo Biologicals Landspreading Fields - See Inset Map	No BARTS Activity
Grey Star	ICJ/Tyro Warehouse/Feed Facility 3300 Wholesale Rd Marinette, WI	No BARTS Activity
Black Star	ICJ/Tyro Test Facility 150 Pine St Peshigo, WI	No BARTS Activity
Grey Circle	House Water Treatment Plant (WWTPL)	No BARTS Activity



WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Remediation & Redevelopment Program
 Nov 19, 2019 rrs

The data shown on this map have been obtained from various sources and are of varying age, reliability and resolution. This map is not intended to be used for navigation, nor is this map an authoritative source of information about legal land ownership or public access. Users of this map should confirm the ownership of land through other means in order to avoid trespassing. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made regarding accuracy, applicability for a particular use, completeness, or quality of the information depicted on this map.