

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BROWN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
JANUARY 20, 2016

Pursuant to Section 19.84 and 59.14, Wis. Stats., notice is hereby given to the public that the REGULAR meeting of the **BROWN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS** was held on **Wednesday, January 20, 2016 at 7:00 p.m.**, in the Legislative Room 203, 100 North Jefferson Street, Green Bay, Wisconsin.

The following matters will be considered:

Call to order at 7:00 p.m.
Invocation.
Pledge of Allegiance.

Opening Roll Call:

Present: Sieber, De Wane, Nicholson, Hoyer, Gruszynski, Erickson, Zima, Evans, Kaye, Landwehr, Dantine, Katers, Kaster, Van Dyck, Jamir, Robinson, Clancy, Campbell, Moynihan, Blom, Schadewald, Lund

Excused: Haefs, La Violette

Late Arrivals: Fewell (7:12 pm), Buckley (7:25 pm)

Total Present: 24

No. 1 -- ADOPTION OF AGENDA.

Chairman Moynihan amended the agenda by striking items #10b (Resolution Regarding Authority to Execute a 2016 Labor Agreement with the Brown County Human Services Professional Employees Association), 10c (Resolution Regarding Authority to Execute a 2015-2016 Labor Agreement with the Brown County Sheriff's Department Non-Supervisory Deputies) and 11a-11f (Closed Sessions) from the agenda and noting the correction to the Executive Committee Minutes of January 11, 2016 in the Supervisor's packets.

A motion was made by Vice Chair Lund and seconded by Supervisor Sieber **"to adopt the agenda as amended."** Voice vote taken. Motion carried unanimously with no abstentions.

No. 2 -- COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC:

- a) Must be limited to items not on the agenda.
- b) State name and address for the record.
- c) Comments will be limited to five minutes.
- d) The Board's role is to listen and not discuss comments nor take action of those comments at this meeting.

Richard Huxford, 2589 Oak Ridge Circle, De Pere, spoke about comments he's heard regarding the asphalt plant. Mr. Huxford stated he had a letter published in the Press Gazette regarding

the asphalt plant and read a portion of that letter to the Board. He also stated anyone who's looking for information on the asphalt plant should request it per the Freedom of Information Act. Mr. Huxford stated that perhaps the February audit of the asphalt plant will shed some light on this subject and bring the issue to an end.

Jay Tibbetts, MD, 328 David Drive, Green Bay, is currently a semi-retired volunteer physician at the free clinic and Medical Advisor to the Brown County Health Department. Dr. Tibbetts stated he is the Chair of that board but tonight he is speaking as an individual. Dr. Tibbetts then questioned why Harold Pfothenauer was not re-appointed to the Board of Health. He stated Mr. Pfothenauer has a distinguished career of helping this community and urged the Board to re-appoint him.

Barbara Vandenboogart, 7463 Holly Mor Road, Greenleaf, is the Vice President of Brown County Citizens for Responsible Wind Energy. She addressed the Board regarding various tests, studies and other proof that the wind turbines at the Shirley Wind Farm are creating illness for residents. Ms. Vandenboogart stated that a statement made by the Health Director is not based on science and it's been proven by NASA that these wind turbines produce noise that causes illness. Ms. Vandenboogart also presented a letter to the Board from acoustician Richard James and encouraged all to read it thoroughly.

SEE LETTER ON THE
FOLLOWING PAGES

E-Cooustic Solutions

NOISE CONTROL • SOUND MEASUREMENT • CONSULTATION
COMMUNITY • INDUSTRIAL • RESIDENTIAL • OFFICE • CLASSROOM • HIPPA ORAL PRIVACY
P.O. Box 1129, Okemos, MI, 48805
RICKJAMES@E-COUSTIC.COM FAX: (866) 461-4103

RICHARD R. JAMES
PRINCIPAL
TEL: 517-507-5067

March 16, 2015

Public Service Commission
Ellen Nowak, Chairperson
Phil Montgomery, Commissioner
Mike Huebsch, Commissioner

Subject: Scientific Basis For Limiting Exposure to Infrasound Produced by Utility Scale Modern Upwind Wind Turbines

Dear Commissioners Nowak, Montgomery and Huebsch:

I am an acoustician with over 45 years of experience in the analysis and measurement of sound and in relating those measurements to how people respond to it. I have testified in both the Glacier Hills and Highland Wind hearings before the PSC in Wisconsin and at similar hearings in most of the states in the Midwest and Northeast, plus Ontario and Alberta. I have been qualified as an expert in acoustics, noise measurement and impact of noise on people in lawsuits involving utility scale wind turbines.

For the past five years I have been working with some of the members of the Shirley community and the Brown County Board of Health to better understand why some families have experienced adverse health effects sufficient to cause them to have vacated their homes. The most recent aspect of this work involved a series of multi-day measurements in homes situated both close to and distant from the Shirley Wind Project's wind turbines using a micro barometer as the acoustic sensor. This instrument is sensitive to acoustic energy down to frequencies far below 1 Hz. It is well suited for measuring the infrasonic tones in the frequency range of 0-10 Hz that are characteristic of wind turbine operation.

The measurements showed that at distances from wind turbines comparable to the distances of the vacated homes (1200 feet to over one mile) the acoustic energy of the tones produced by the wind turbines averaged 50 dB or higher, often over 60 dB and with some periods having levels that were above 70 dB. What was also observed is that the average levels were a result of very short duration pulses with levels 10 to 20 dB above the average. Thus, the average levels are a result of short pulses with low energy between them, and not steady tones. It is the pulsitivity of the tones that makes the sound emissions especially problematic. Acousticians have known since the 1960's that slowly rotating machines produce infrasound tones, and that when the sounds have pulsations they cause symptoms of the type reported near wind turbine utilities. Tests inside homes at increasing distances away from the Shirley Wind wind turbines showed that even at distances over 4 miles the characteristic tones measured closer to the wind turbines were still clearly evident even at lower sound pressure levels and at times almost at sound pressure levels comparable to those found in the vacated homes.

The results of this work was presented to the Brown County Board of Health at a hearing in October 2014 along with oral and written testimony from many of the people who had experienced adverse health effects during periods of wind turbines operation. Based on the evidence provided in that hearing, and the evidence that the Board of Health had collected since the complaints first started to occur, the Board declared the wind project to be a Human Health Hazard.

The work I did with the community members is not the only study that has been conducted at these homes. In December of 2012 the homes were tested by a team of acousticians at the request of the PSC in the Highland Wind hearing. That study concluded that infrasound emitted by the operation

of the Shirley Wind wind turbines was present inside the homes and that the adverse health effects reported in the complaints of the families was linked to that infrasound. Dr. Paul Schomer was one of the acousticians involved in that test and the Highland hearing before the PSC. I was also involved in setting up the test protocol and conducting analysis because I was the acoustician working with the homeowners.

Similar to the studies conducted at Shirley Wind in Brown County, other acousticians have been conducting studies using comparable instrumentation and analysis techniques. A study conducted in 2014 by an Australian acoustician, Steve Cooper, working for Pacific Hydro, at its Cape Bridgewater wind project in Australia was broader than what was done in Shirley because the utility operator cooperated with the acoustician in sharing operational data and setting up special test conditions. This was a very well funded study, reported to have a budget of \$180,000 \$AU and one that spanned months of testing. This study was released in February of 2015 and has been reviewed by a number of independent acousticians, including Dr. Paul Schomer, Director of the Acoustical Society of America Standards Committee. Dr. Schomer was also involved in the 2012 Shirley Wind study for the Wisconsin PSC and has testified at the Highland hearing. Dr. Schomer's review of the Cooper study gave it his full support, as have the reviews conducted by other independent acousticians.

I have conducted a review of the findings of the Cooper Cape Bridgewater study and have confirmed that it supports the findings of the studies conducted at Shirley Wind. As a reference point, the Cape Bridgewater study ranks the severity of the infrasound for each test home and test period by summing the energy of the wind turbine tones and harmonics in the infrasonic frequency range. This sum is called the Wind Turbine Signature (WTS). While the studies of the Shirley wind turbine infrasound did not use that term, the concept of summing the energy of the tones was similar in both. There were some differences in how the energy was analyzed. However, I have worked with Mr. Cooper to compare his study findings to mine. The result is that a test showing a 51 dB tone on a Shirley Wind micro barometer test is equivalent to 61 dB using Mr. Cooper's method for Cape Bridgewater. The Cooper study ranked the intensity of the sensations reported by his test subjects on a scale of 1 to 5. The highest sensation level, Sensation Level 5, corresponds to his 61 dB results and my 51 dB readings. In Shirley Wind sound pressure levels of the WTS of 51 and higher are associated with severe levels of sensation and adverse health effects leading to families leaving their homes. In the Australian study, Sensation Level 5 was associated with strong sensations that could lead to one abandoning a home. In fact, in Cooper's study, three of the test homes have been vacated since the end of his field study last summer. The tones found in the homes close to the Shirley Wind towers are at or above the Sensation 5 threshold both by my measurements and the testimony of the home owners.

These studies are confirming what was reported in studies conducted in the 1980's by the Department of Energy and NASA. Those studies demonstrated the mechanisms that are producing the infrasonic tones (WTS) and related them to human response. They concluded that locating large utility scale wind turbines of the type and size now being installed and operated by wind energy utilities would lead to complaints of the type that are now reported. While the wind industry claims that these studies are no longer relevant, recent interviews with some of those researchers show they consider the findings of their work in the 1980's to still apply. The studies conducted in Shirley Wind and Cape Bridgewater applied many of the same measurement and analysis methods used in these early studies. Thus, there is a long history of research showing that what was found in the new studies was well understood by acousticians in the 1980's and 90's.

To conclude I wish to use a quote from Dr. Schomer's letter describing his peer review of the Cooper Cape Bridgewater study. He states:

"Some may ask, this is only 6 people, why is it so important? The answer is that up until now windfarm operators have said there are no known cause and effect relations between windfarm emissions and the response of people living in the vicinity of the windfarm other than those

related to visual and/or audible stimuli, and these lead to some flicker which is treated, and "some annoyance with noise." This study proves that there are other pathways that affect some people, at least 6. The windfarm operator simply cannot say there are no known effects and no known people affected. One person affected is a lot more than none; the existence of just one cause-and-effect pathway is a lot more than none. It only takes one example to prove that a broad assertion is not true, and that is the case here. Windfarms will be in the position where they must say: "We may affect some people." And regulators charged with protecting the health and welfare of the citizenry will not be able to say they know of no adverse effects. Rather, if they choose to support the windfarm, they will do so knowing that they may not be protecting the health and welfare of all the citizenry."

(Emphasis added) Quote by: Dr. Paul Schomer, Standards Director, Acoustical Society of America Feb. 10, 2015, Peer Review of Cooper Cape Bridgewater Wind Farm Study.

Based on the above, it is reasonable to conclude that the adverse health effects reported by members of the Shirley community are linked to the operation of the Shirley Wind project wind turbines. While there may still be debate about the precise mechanism that causes these sounds to induce the symptoms; it is clear from this study, the Cape Bridgewater Study in Australia, and others conducted in different parts of the world by other acousticians, that acoustic energy emitted by the operation of modern utility scale wind turbines is at the root of the adverse health effects.

We find that the adverse health effects are not limited just to homes close to the wind turbines (e.g at the distances to homes found in projects like Highland Wind), but extend outward to distances of over four miles from the nearest wind turbine. As the distance to the wind turbines decreases, the frequency and severity of the sensations experienced and reported as adverse health effects increases. In the Cape Bridgewater study, it was concluded that the radius of impact is approximately 7 km (4.3 miles). This corresponds well to the findings at Shirley.

In conclusion, I offer my opinion that until more is known about this aspect of wind turbine sound emissions and adverse health effects that utility scale wind projects should have precautionary buffer zones of two or more miles with some provisions for those people who will still be affected at these greater distances to relocate away from the utility. For those people living in or near existing wind projects that have been experiencing the adverse effects of these acoustic emissions this could be considered an emergency situation. For those people living in communities where wind energy projects are planned and the developer is only considering the effects of audible sounds it is also of extreme importance that the PSC act to protect them from similar adverse effects.

I am available to discuss this in more detail or to provide additional information expanding on my statements.

Sincerely,
E-Coustic Solutions


Richard R. James, INC.

No. 3 -- APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 4, 2015 and DECEMBER 16, 2015.

A motion was made by Supervisor Nicholson and seconded by Supervisor Kaye “to approve”. Voice vote taken. Motion carried unanimously with no abstentions.

No. 4 -- ANNOUNCEMENTS OF SUPERVISORS.

Supervisor Hoyer congratulated the Mental Health Ad-Hoc members and Eric Pritzl on receiving a grant that works together with other initiatives passed last November to help those needing mental health treatment in our community. Supervisor Hoyer stated Brown County is one of five out of the seventy-five applicants to receive the grant.

Supervisor Evans wished Juliana Ruenzel a happy birthday tomorrow and a happy 70th birthday to Supervisor Zima.

Supervisor Zima wished a happy birthday on Friday to Supervisor Evans.

Supervisor Dantine thanked Brown County for saving taxpayers money with the asphalt plant. He stated he has a list of comments made at a previous meeting that were not factual. However, he will take this up following the asphalt plant audit.

Supervisor Blom advised all that the Pinewood Park hockey rink dedication was last weekend and that there is now another venue for all those hockey enthusiasts to come out and play.

Supervisor Schadewald stated the Hoops For Troops will be held Tuesday, February 2nd and all donations will benefit the N.E.W. Veterans Memorial in Howard.

No. 5 -- COMMUNICATIONS. NONE.

LATE COMMUNICATIONS:

No. 5a -- FROM SUPERVISOR VAN DYCK: INSTRUCT THE HUMAN RESOURCES DEPARTMENT TO ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE RF IN ORDER TO SOLICIT THE SERVICES OF A COMPENSATION CONSULTANT TO REVIEW THE COUNTY'S CURRENT CLASSIFICATION & COMPENSATION STUDY AND TO PROVIDE GUIDANCE ON THE PROPER STRUCTURING AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CLASSIFICATION & COMPENSATION PROGRAM INCLUDING REVIEW OF EXISTING CLASSIFICATION DISCREPANCIES.

Refer to Executive Committee.

No. 5b -- FROM SUPERVISOR ERICKSON: DIRECT TOM MILLER AND ADMINISTRATION TO DESIGN A MEMORIAL TO AUSTIN STRAUBEL INSIDE THE AIRPORT. EX: A PHOTO COLLAGE.

Refer to Planning, Development & Transportation Committee.

No. 5c -- FROM SUPERVISOR SCHADEWALD: THIS LETTER IS MY REQUEST FOR THE REVENUE RECEIVED FROM DUKE ENERGY FOR THE SHIRLEY WIND FARM TO BE ALLOCATED TOWARD A MEDICAL STUDY OF THE EFFECTS

OF THE SHIRLEY WIND FARM WIND TURBINES ON THE HEALTH OF LOCAL RESIDENTS.

Refer to Planning, Development & Transportation Committee.

- No. 5d -- FROM SUPERVISOR SCHADEWALD: THIS LETTER IS MY REQUEST FOR THE HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE TO SUPPORT A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE WISCONSIN GOVERNOR AND STATE LEGISLATURE TO FUND A MEDICAL STUDY OF THE EFFECTS OF THE SHIRLEY WIND FARM WIND TURBINES ON THE HEALTH OF LOCAL RESIDENTS.**

Refer to Human Services Committee.

- No. 5e -- FROM SUPERVISOR ZIMA: THAT THE COUNTY BOARD REVIEW THE CHARGES MADE TO BARS AND RESTAURANTS FOR THEIR LICENSES TO DETERMINE IF THEY'RE BEING CHARGED INAPPROPRIATELY, IF THERE ARE TWO BEVERAGE BARS WITHIN THE RESPECTIVE FACILITIES. ALSO, PLEASE NOTIFY THE BROWN COUNTY TAVERN LEAGUE AS THEY HAVE AN INTEREST IN THIS MATTER.**

Refer to Human Services Committee and Board of Health.

- No. 6 -- APPOINTMENTS BY COUNTY EXECUTIVE.**

- No. 6a -- Appointment of Beverly A. Bartlett for a three year term to the Aging & Disability Resource Center Board.**

A motion was made by Supervisor De Wane and seconded by Supervisor Campbell **“to approve appointment”**. Voice vote taken. Motion carried unanimously with no abstentions.

- No. 6b -- Appointment of James Crawford for a three year term to the Board of Health.**

A motion was made by Supervisor Evans and seconded by Supervisor Campbell **“to refer back to County Executive”**.

Following, a motion was made by Supervisor Evans and seconded by Supervisor Kaster **“to suspend the rules and allow interested parties to speak on this item”**. Voice vote taken. Motion carried unanimously with no abstentions.

Dr. Jay Tibbetts, 328 David Drive, Green Bay, stated that there is no rural representation on the Board of Health. Dr. Tibbetts stated Supervisor Clancy submitted a nomination of a candidate for the Board of Health; however, the County Executive stated he never received that name. He later stated that this candidate actually didn't complete the proper paperwork to be considered for the board. Dr. Tibbetts urged the Board not to approve the appointment until this was straightened out.

Steve Deloria, Greenleaf, stated he feels the process to appoint people is tainted. He stated the process to apply for the board was explained at a public Board of Health meeting but that the process was not followed by the County Executive. Mr. Deloria requested the appointment be sent back and the process be cleaned up.

Following, a motion was made by Supervisor Sieber and seconded by Supervisor Buckley **“to return to regular order of business”**. Voice vote taken. Motion carried unanimously with no abstentions.

After further discussion, a roll call vote was taken on the motion by Supervisor Evans and seconded by Supervisor Campbell to **“refer appointment back to the County Executive”**.

Roll Call Vote #1:

Ayes: De Wane, Nicholson, Erickson, Zima, Evans, Dantine, Kaster, Clancy.

Nayes: Sieber, Hoyer, Gruszynski, Kaye, Buckley, Landwehr, Katers, Van Dyck, Jamir, Robinson, Campbell, Moynihan, Blom, Lund, Fewell

Abstain: Schadewald

Absent: Haefs, La Violette

Total Ayes: 8 Total Nayes: 15 Total Abstain: 1 Total Absent: 2

Motion failed.

A motion was made by Supervisor Sieber and seconded by Supervisor Buckley **“to approve appointment”**. Roll call vote taken.

Roll Call Vote #2:

Ayes: Sieber, De Wane, Nicholson, Hoyer, Gruszynski, Erickson, Kaye, Buckley, Landwehr, Dantine, Katers, Van Dyck, Jamir, Robinson, Clancy, Campbell, Moynihan, Blom, Lund, Fewell

Nayes: Kaster

Abstain: Zima, Evans, Schadewald

Absent: Haefs, La Violette

Total Ayes: 20 Total Nayes: 1 Total Abstain: 3 Total Absent: 2

Motion carried.

No. 6c -- Appointment of Robert Nielsen for a three year term to the Library Board.

A motion was made by Supervisor Sieber and seconded by Supervisor Hoyer **“to approve appointment”**. Voice vote taken. Motion carried unanimously with no abstentions.

No. 6d -- Appointment of Kathy Pletcher for a three year term to the Nicolet Federated Library Board.

A motion was made by Supervisor Van Dyck and seconded by Supervisor Gruszynski **“to approve appointment”**. Voice vote taken. Motion carried unanimously with no abstentions.

No. 6e -- Appointment of John Lemke for a three year term to the Nicolet Federated Library Board.

A motion was made by Supervisor Nicholson and seconded by Supervisor Sieber “to approve appointment”. Voice vote taken. Motion carried unanimously with no abstentions.

No. 7a -- REPORT BY COUNTY EXECUTIVE.

County Executive Streckenbach wished Supervisors Zima and Evans a Happy Birthday.

County Executive Streckenbach stated he is going to Madison on Thursday to testify on a fraud bill and that the Sheriff will be testifying next week on a Probation & Parole bill which may help Brown County receive full funding on inmate housing.

County Executive Streckenbach advised all that next Thursday at 4pm is the ribbon-cutting for Bay Nordic lighting at the Reforestation Camp. He stated he is proud that, as a community, we made this improvement happen.

County Executive Streckenbach urged those with budget ideas for 2017 to come forward before August to get those issues addressed in the budget.

No. 7b -- REPORT BY BOARD CHAIRMAN.

Chairman Moynihan extended the entire County Board’s condolences to Dan Process and his family on the loss of his father.

No. 8 -- OTHER REPORTS. NONE.

No. 9 -- Standing Committee Reports

No. 9a -- REPORT OF ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE – No Meeting was Held.

No. 9b -- REPORT OF EDUCATION & RECREATION COMMITTEE – No Meeting was Held.

No. 9c -- REPORT OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF JANUARY 11, 2016

TO THE MEMBERS OF THE BROWN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The **EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE** met in regular session on January 11, 2016 and recommends the following:

1. Vacant Budgeted Positions - Child Support – Accounting Technician – Vacated 01/05/16.
2. Vacant Budgeted Positions - Child Support – Child Support Clerk – Vacated 01/05/16.
3. Vacant Budgeted Positions - Circuit Courts – Law Clerk – Vacated 01/08/16.
4. Vacant Budgeted Positions - Human Services – Economic Support Specialist (x2) – Vacated 12/11/15 & 01/08/16.
5. Vacant Budgeted Positions - Parks – Assistant Naturalist – Vacated 02/26/16.

6. Vacant Budgeted Positions - Public Works (Facilities) – Housekeeper – Vacated 01/06/16.
7. Vacant Budgeted Positions - Technology Services – Document Center Specialist – Vacated 12/11/15.
 - i. To suspend the rules and take Items 1 – 7 together; carried 6 to 1.
 - ii. To approve Items 1-7; Carried 6 to 1.
8. Review and Possible Action on Legal Bills to be paid. To pay.
9. Treasurer - ACTION – Review, discussion, and setting of “Starting Bids” for tax deed properties for sale as follows:

<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Min. Starting Bid</u>
21-1293-2	City of Green Bay	1739 Main Street	\$37,500
14-157	City of Green Bay	1274 Cherry Street	\$6,800
1-898	City of Green Bay	1322 S. Chestnut Avenue	\$5,700
2-661	City of Green Bay	519 Twelfth Avenue	\$6,300

To list these parcels for sale at the suggested minimum bids.
10. Treasurer - Discussion/Action re: Choosing a professional source to obtain “appraisal” of tax deed properties under Wis. Stat. 75.69. To proceed with a request for quotes.
11. Resolution re: Adjustments to the 2015 Classification and Compensation Plan.
 - a) Objections by Department Heads.
 - i. To Approve Items 14 & 15 of the Class and Comp Reconsideration Requests. Motion withdrawn.
 - ii. To approve HR recommendations effective January 31, 2016, further, direct HR and the new HR Director to review those requests which were denied or modified and thoroughly review and return final recommendations to the Executive Committee at the first opportunity; carried 6 to 1.
12. County Executive Report.
 - a) Budget Status Financial Report for November, 2015. Receive and place on file.
13. Internal Auditor Report.
 - a) Board of Supervisors Budget Status Financial Report for November, 2015.
 - b) Monthly Status Update: December 1 – December 31, 2015. Receive and place on file.
14. Human Resources Report. Receive and place on file.
15. Open Session: Discussion and possible action regarding the contract negotiations for the 2016 PEA Labor Agreement.
16. Closed Session: Discussion and possible action regarding the contract negotiations for the 2016 PEA Labor Agreement. Notice is hereby given that the governmental body will adjourn into a closed session during the meeting on the above date, as authorized pursuant to section 19.85(1)(e) of the Wisconsin Statutes, for the purpose of deliberating or negotiating the purchase of public properties, the investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business, whenever competitive or bargaining reasons require a closed session, which bargaining is pursuant to Wis. Stat. §111.70 for purposes of negotiating and collective bargaining said agreement.
 - i. To move into closed session on Item 16 & 19.
 - ii. To return to regular order of business.
17. Reconvene in Open Session: Discussion and possible action regarding the contract negotiations for the PEA Labor Agreement. To approve the recommendation as presented by Administration.
18. Open Session: Discussion and possible action regarding the contract negotiations for the Non-Supervisory Deputy Sheriff’s Labor Agreement.
19. Closed Session: Discussion and possible action regarding the contract negotiations for the Non-Supervisory Deputy Sheriff’s Labor Agreement. Notice is hereby given that the

governmental body will adjourn into a closed session during the meeting on the above date, as authorized pursuant to Section 19.85 (1)(e) of the Wisconsin Statutes, for the purpose of deliberating or negotiating the purchase of public properties, the investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business, whenever competitive or bargaining reasons require a closed session, which bargaining is pursuant to Wis. Stat. §111.70 for purposes of negotiating and collective bargaining said agreement. See *action at Item 16 above.*

20. Reconvene in Open Session: Discussion and possible action regarding the contract negotiations for the Non-Supervisory Deputy Sheriff's Labor Agreement. To approve the recommendation as presented by Administration.

A motion was made by Vice Chair Lund and seconded by Supervisor Van Dyck "to adopt". Voice vote taken. Motion carried unanimously with no abstentions.

No. 9d -- REPORT OF HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE – No Meeting was Held.

No. 9e -- REPORT OF PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE – No Meeting was Held.

No. 9ei-- REPORT OF SPECIAL PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE ON JANUARY 20, 2016.

TO THE MEMBERS OF THE BROWN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The **PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE** met in special session on January 20, 2016 and recommends the following:

*** Motions pending action taken at Wednesday, January 20, 2016 Special Planning, Development & Transportation Committee meeting. County Board agenda printed prior to their meeting.*

1. Budget Adjustment Request (15-81): Reallocation of more than 10% of the funds original appropriated between any of the levels of appropriation.
2. Budget Adjustment Request (15-85): Any increase in expenses with an offsetting increase in revenue.

A motion was made by Supervisor Kater and seconded by Supervisor De Wane "to adopt". Voice vote taken. Motion carried unanimously with no abstentions.

ATTACHMENT TO ITEM #9ei
ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

9ei

CLERK

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE BROWN COUNTY
PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT & TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE**

Pursuant to Section 19.84 Wis. Stats., a special meeting of the **Brown County Planning, Development & Transportation Committee** was held on Wednesday, January 20, 2016, in Room 207, City Hall, 100 North Jefferson Street.

Present: Bernie Erickson, Norb Dantine, Dave Kaster, Tom Sieber, Dave Landwehr,
Also Present:

- I. Call Meeting to Order.
- II. Approve/Modify Agenda.

Comments from the Public

1. Budget Adjustment Request (15-81): Reallocations of more than 10% of the funds original appropriated between any of the levels of appropriation.

Motion made by Supervisor: Sieber

Seconded by Supervisor: Dantine

To: Approve

2. Budget Adjustment Request (15-85): Any increase in expenses with an offsetting increase in revenue.

Motion made by Supervisor: Landwehr

Seconded by Supervisor: Sieber

To: approve

3. Such other matters as authorized by law.
4. Adjourn.

Bernie Erickson, Chair

Attachments

Please take notice that it is possible additional members of the Board of Supervisors may attend this meeting, resulting in a majority or quorum of the Board of Supervisors. This may constitute a meeting of the Board of Supervisors for purposes of discussion and information gathering relative to this agenda.

No. 9f -- REPORT OF LAND CONSERVATION SUBCOMMITTEE – No Meeting was Held.

No. 9g -- REPORT OF PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE – No Meeting was Held.

No. 10 -- Resolutions, Ordinances:

Budget Adjustments Requiring County Board Approval

No. 10a -- RESOLUTION APPROVING BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS TO VARIOUS DEPARTMENT BUDGETS

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS
OF THE BROWN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Ladies and Gentlemen:

WHEREAS, within the past 30 days departments have submitted the following adjustments to their departmental budgets that, per Wisconsin State Statutes, require approval by a 2/3 vote of the full County Board:

15-81 Facility Management would like to reallocate some funds currently reserved for future or cancelled projects to projects that have been added or moved up in priority or that are complete but ran over budget so more funds need to be added to close out the project. This budget is currently budgeted in Facility Management's operating fund (100.054). See spreadsheet for further details.

15-85 Through the end of November the Public Works Highway Divisions (PWHD) 660 operating fund has an increase in expenses with an offsetting increase in revenue. The total actual revenue at the end of November was \$24,114,918 and the total budgeted revenue for 2015 is \$23,749,308 – a difference of \$365,610 in additional revenue. Including the billings anticipated for the month of December, PWHD anticipates total additional revenue for 2015 of \$928,300. The additional revenue is a result primarily of additional State work being completed from what was initially budgeted by the County. PWHD was able to complete approximately \$739,336 more than average Routine Maintenance Agreement (RMA) work, Local Force Account (LFA) work and Performance Based Maintenance (PBM) contracts from August to November. There was also an increase in the intra-county charges as PWHD was able to complete more work for the other departments than was initially budgeted for. With the increase in revenue there are also increases in the operating expenses. Please see detailed listing of affected accounts and explanations for the requested budget adjustments. Amount: \$928,300

and,

WHEREAS, these budget adjustments are necessary to ensure activities are appropriated and accounted for properly.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Brown County Board of Supervisors hereby approves the above listed budget adjustments.

Respectfully submitted,

PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT &
TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE

Approved By: /s/ Troy Streckenbach Date: 01/26/2016

Authored by Administration
Approved as to form by Corporation Counsel

Fiscal Note: The fiscal impact is as described in each individual budget adjustment listed above.

A motion was made by Supervisor Erickson and seconded by Supervisor Schadewald “**to adopt**”. Voice vote taken. Motion carried unanimously with no abstentions.

ATTACHMENTS TO RESOLUTION 10A
ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

BUDGET ADJUSTMENT REQUEST

15-81


<u>Category</u>	<u>Approval Level</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 Reallocation from one account to another in the same level of appropriation	Dept Head
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 Reallocation due to a technical correction that could include: • Reallocation to another account strictly for tracking or accounting purposes • Allocation of budgeted prior year grant not completed in the prior year	Director of Admin
<input type="checkbox"/> 3 Any change in any item within the Outlay account which does not require the reallocation of funds from another level of appropriation	County Exec
<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Any change in appropriation from an official action taken by the County Board (i.e. resolution, ordinance change, etc.)	County Exec
<input type="checkbox"/> 5 a) Reallocation of <u>up to 10%</u> of the originally appropriated funds between any levels of appropriation (based on lesser of originally appropriated amounts)	Admin Committee
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 b) Reallocation of <u>more than 10%</u> of the funds originally appropriated between any of the levels of appropriation.	Oversight Comm 2/3 County Board
<input type="checkbox"/> 6 Reallocation between two or more departments, regardless of amount	Oversight Comm 2/3 County Board
<input type="checkbox"/> 7 Any increase in expenses with an offsetting increase in revenue	Oversight Comm 2/3 County Board
<input type="checkbox"/> 8 Any allocation from a department's fund balance	Oversight Comm 2/3 County Board
<input type="checkbox"/> 9 Any allocation from the County's General Fund	Oversight Comm Admin Committee 2/3 County Board

Justification for Budget Change:

Facility Management would like to re-allocate some funds currently reserved for future or cancelled projects to projects that have been added or moved up in priority or that are complete but ran over budget so more funds need to be added to close out the project. This budget is currently budgeted in Facility Management's operating fund (100.054). See attached spreadsheet.

Increase	Decrease	Account #	Account Title	Amount
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	100.054.038.6110.100	Outlay	8,045.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	100.054.038.6110.020	Outlay Equipment	7,584.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	100.054.038.5307.300	Rep/Maint-Building	14,409.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	100.054.038.5307.100	Rep/Maint-Equip	4,000.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	100.054.041.5307.300	Rep/Maint-Building	250.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	100.054.038.5307.400	Rep/Maint-Grounds	26,325.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	100.054.001.5307.400	Rep/Maint-Grounds	20,000.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	100.054.038.5601.350	Inter-Dept Charge-Highway	7,437.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	100.054.042.5307.100	Rep/Maint-Equip	4,600.00


 Signature of Department Head
 Department: Public Works
 Date: 11/3/15

AUTHORIZATIONS

 Signature of Director or Executive
 Date: 11/24/15

Revised 4/1/14

2015 Open Purchase Orders

PO# Vendor Order Purchase / EIC Roof Replacement Status
 2015-046 ABC Supply Co. Inc. \$ - Closed
 2015-041 Nordbaster Roofing Co. EIC Roof Replacement \$ - Check

Total Estimated \$ -

PO#	Project	2015 Budget	exp	Remaining	Availability	Final Balance	Notes	
PM15-1	Roof Replacement - Low	\$ 76,700.00	84,744.91	(8,044.91)	8,044.91	0.00	This project is done and closed over a little bit. Reason is because the permit was needed. Funds from other projects to cover the average.	
PM15-2	Fire Alarm Calling W/IC, Walking Room (Safety Issue)	\$ 10,000.00	5,910.00	4,090.00	14,000.00	0.00	This project is done and came in under budget. Funds SOB Award for other	
PM15-3	Install Six (6) SSM's on Elevator Motors - Courthouse	\$ 12,000.00	-	12,000.00	(12,000.00)	0.00	Not doing now. Check we know what to do on this and use this budget to cover the	
PM15-4	Accounting Pavilion Vp (Replacement) - Sophie Retirement	\$ 8,200.00	-	8,200.00	18,200.00	0.00	Not doing now. Check we know what to do on this and use this budget to cover the	
PM15-5	Shelter Care Parking LMS-Safety	\$ 18,500.00	-	18,500.00	16,500.00	2015	Active Project. Do in 2016 with the asset budget in	
PM15-6	UW Est Parking LMS	\$ 16,500.00	-	16,500.00	16,500.00	2015	Active Project. Do in 2016 with the asset budget in	
PM15-7	Driveway Synthetic Courthouse	\$ 26,212.00	-	26,212.00	(26,212.00)	0.00	On hold until further notice. Having issue with them having plan to do. Talk to replacement the work is done. The new plan we are working on. This will happen.	
PM15-8	Fencing, Concrete Form Work (Sophie Retirement)	\$ 20,000.00	-	20,000.00	(20,000.00)	0.00	On hold until further notice. Let us reevaluate the funds in a project needed to be done now since we are unsure when this will happen.	
PM15-9	Service Area Floor - CTC	\$ 15,000.00	15,250.00	(250.00)	250.00	0.00	Missed materials early way in original estimate. Using funds from other projects to cover this	
PM15-10	Sophie Retirement Parking Lot	\$ 50,000.00	57,438.70	(7,438.70)	7,438.70	0.00	Project is done. Work done on this one. C. O. P. about six done for a while caused extra costs. Add	
PM15-11	Worm Treatment AC (Replacement)				7,564.00	7,564.00	Project Added	
PM15-12	Shelter Care Elevator Callouts Replacement				8,000.00	8,000.00	Project Added	
PM15-13	Shelter Care Elevator Callouts Replacement				4,000.00	4,000.00	Project Added	
PM15-14	Job Generator Callouts System Maintenance				1,000.00	1,000.00	Project Added	
PM15-15	Child Support Center 217 sq ft replacement 4th Floor				29,800.00	29,800.00	Project Added. Next to come out of budget	
Total Est Proj Cost NOT on PO							\$ 87,833.26	

BUDGET ADJUSTMENT REQUEST

15-85

Category

- 1 Reallocation from one account to another in the same level of appropriation
- 2 Reallocation due to a technical correction that could include:
 - Reallocation to another account strictly for tracking or accounting purposes
 - Allocation of budgeted prior year grant not completed in the prior year
- 3 Any change in any item within the Outlay account which does not require the reallocation of funds from another level of appropriation
- 4 Any change in appropriation from an official action taken by the County Board (i.e. resolution, ordinance change, etc.)
- 5 a) Reallocation of up to 10% of the originally appropriated funds between any levels of appropriation (based on lesser of originally appropriated amounts)
- 5 b) Reallocation of more than 10% of the funds original appropriated between any of the levels of appropriation.
- 6 Reallocation between two or more departments, regardless of amount
- 7 Any increase in expenses with an offsetting increase in revenue
- 8 Any allocation from a department's fund balance
- 9 Any allocation from the County's General Fund

Approval Level

- Dept Head
- Director of Admin
- County Exec
- County Exec
- Admin Committee
- Oversight Comm
2/3 County Board
- Oversight Comm
2/3 County Board
- Oversight Comm
2/3 County Board
- Oversight Comm
Admin Committee
2/3 County Board

Justification for Budget Change:

Through the end of November, the Public Works Highway Division's (PWHD) 660 operating fund has an increase in expenses with an offsetting increase in revenue. The total actual revenue at the end of November was \$24,114,918 and the total budgeted revenue for 2015 is \$23,749,308 – a difference of \$365,610 in additional revenue. Including the billings anticipated for the month of December, PWHD anticipates total additional revenue for 2015 of \$928,300. The additional revenue is a result primarily of additional State work being completed from what was initially budgeted by the County. PWHD was able to complete approximately \$739,336 more than average Routine Maintenance Agreement (RMA) work, Local Force Account (LFA) work, and Performance Based Maintenance (PBM) contracts from August to November. There was also an increase in the Intra-county charges as PWHD was able to complete more work for the other departments than was initially budgeted for.

With the increase in revenue there are also increases in the operating expenses. Please see detailed listing of affected accounts and explanations for the requested budget adjustments.

Increase	Decrease	Account #	Account Title	Amount
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	660.044.001.4700.002	Intergovt Charges State (Rev)	758,454
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	660.044.001.4800	Intra-County Charge (Rev)	169,846
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	660.044.001.5	Various Expense Accounts See Attached List	928,300

214

AUTHORIZATIONS

Paul [Signature]
Signature of Department Head
Department: Public Works
Date: 12/22/15

[Signature]
Signature of COA or Executive
Date: 12/22/15

Revised 4/1/14

10a



Budget Performance Report

Fiscal Year to Date 12/14/15
Include Rollup Account and Rollup to Account

Account Description	Adopted Budget	Budget Amendments	Amended Budget	Current Month Transactions	YTD Encumbrances	YTD Transactions	Budget - YTD Transactions	% Used/Received
4700.000 Intergovt charges	3,142,200.00	.00	3,142,200.00	.00	.00	3,659,153.95	(506,953.95)	116
<p>The 2015 State RMA Budget with Brown County was \$3,815,600. Brown County budgeted for \$3,100,000 because historically Brown County has not done as much RMA work as the State had budgeted for various reasons. In 2015 PWD has been able to do more RMA work as well as complete some additional LFA and PRM contracts. Through November we already have \$506,954 more in State revenue than budgeted. We anticipate the billings in December to be at least \$251,500. This amount was calculated by assuming for December we should be able to bill at least an amount equal to our lowest billing month for the year so far (\$165,000) and adding to that the PRM contract amounts that will be billed in December (\$6,500). So the amount over in revenue already through November for State Revenue plus the anticipated December Billings is an increase in Intergovernment Charges State of \$758,454 (\$506,954+\$251,500=\$758,454).</p>								

Averages in Month of December		
Dec-12	Dec-13	Dec-14
393,127.00	535,186.00	280,055.00
		251,500.00
		758,454.00

Account Description	Adopted Budget	Budget Amendments	Amended Budget	Current Month Transactions	YTD Encumbrances	YTD Transactions	Budget - YTD Transactions	% Used/Received
4800 Intra-county charge	519,280.00	.00	519,280.00	.00	.00	681,425.61	(163,645.61)	131
<p>PWHD only budgets what is requested by other departments at the time of the budget. At the end of November the PWHD has performed an additional \$161,646 more in work (revenue) than initially budgeted from the following areas: Facility Management +112,633 (MHC Storage Shed & Sophie Beaumont Parking Lot) Part & Resource Recovery +33,664 Planning +16,546 (Ground Restoration From Demo For Sale Land) Parks +7,897</p>								

So the current amount already over budget (161,646) + anticipated December Billings (8,209) lowest avg billing in a month) = increased Intra-County Charge Revenue of \$169,846.

Averages in Month of December		
Dec-12	Dec-13	Dec-14
20,234.00	92,121.00	20,473.00
		8,209.00
		169,846.00

Total Revenue Budget Adjustment: 928,300

Da



Budget Performance Report

Fiscal Year to Date 12/14/15
Include Rollup Account and Rollup to Account

Account	Account Description	Adopted Budget	Budget Amendments	Amended Budget	Current Month Transactions	YTD Encumbrances	YTD Transactions	Budget - YTD Transactions	% Rec'd	Prior Year Total
EXPENSE										
5000	Cost of sales	382,000.00	.00	382,000.00	.00	152,278.35	639,212.13	(429,988.48)	219	273,976.66
5000.002	Cost of sales State									
With the increase in State revenue there is a corresponding increase in State job material expenses. The Cost of Sales State expense account is where we expense the material costs for State jobs. Need to increase Cost of Sales State by \$620,049 to cover the material expenses over already and additional material expenses estimated for December (est worst case scenario).										

December Estimate	199,066.50
Budget Adjustment	620,049.00

Account	Account Description	Adopted Budget	Budget Amendments	Amended Budget	Current Month Transactions	YTD Encumbrances	YTD Transactions	Budget - YTD Transactions	% Rec'd	Prior Year Total
5000.004	Cost of sales Interdepartmental	133,497.00	.00	133,497.00	.00	.00	300,728.27	(67,231.27)	150	172,237.70
With the increase in Intra-County Charge revenue there is a corresponding increase in Cost of Sales Interdepartmental. The Cost of Sales Interdepartmental expense account is where we expense the material costs for Inter-Dept jobs. Need to increase Cost of Sales Interdepartmental by \$71,532 to cover the material expenses over already and additional material expenses estimated for December.										

December Estimate	4,300.00
Budget Adjustment	71,532.00

Account	Account Description	Adopted Budget	Budget Amendments	Amended Budget	Current Month Transactions	YTD Encumbrances	YTD Transactions	Budget - YTD Transactions
5100	Regular earnings	4,970,991.00	.00	5,176,991.00	158,107.84	.00	5,191,539.87	(34,548.87)
	(Including Paid Leave & Overtime)							
5110	Fringe Benefits	2,133,793.00	.00	2,133,793.00	80,249.82	.00	2,167,870.65	(34,077.65)
5110	Various Fringe Benefit Accounts							
	541 est of labor							

For both State and Inter-Departmental there was not only an increase in material expenses but also labor expenses. Need to increase labor for both State and Inter-Departmental charges. Increasing the labor & with a corresponding increase in fringe benefits for the increase in revenue.

December Estimate	122,059.00
Budget Adjustment	136,608.00
	66,033.92
	100,011.00

Total Expense Budget Adjustment: 928,300

10a

No. 10b -- **RESOLUTION REGARDING AUTHORITY TO EXECUTE A 2016 LABOR AGREEMENT WITH THE BROWN COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES PROFESSIONAL EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION.** - Struck from the agenda.

No. 10c -- **RESOLUTION REGARDING AUTHORITY TO EXECUTE A 2015-2016 LABOR AGREEMENT WITH THE BROWN COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT NON-SUPERVISORY DEPUTIES.** - Struck from the agenda.

Executive Committee

No. 10d -- **RESOLUTION REGARDING ADJUSTMENTS TO THE CLASSIFICATION AND COMPENSATION PLAN.**

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS
OF THE BROWN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Ladies and Gentlemen:

WHEREAS, on April 15, 2015, the Brown County Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution approving the 2015 Classification and Compensation Plan for employees not covered by union contracts; and,

WHEREAS, the Plan is intended to completely replace the multiple compensation scales and varied step plans created by prior labor agreements and department-specific practices; and,

WHEREAS, the purpose of the Compensation Plan is to ensure uniformity of compensation that is administered according to fair and equitable principles; and,

WHEREAS, the Human Resources Department, in conjunction with the Department Directors, reviewed position descriptions and placement in appropriate job specifications and pay grade; and

WHEREAS, formal recommendations for individual adjustments were forwarded to the Executive Committee for review and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors for final approval and implementation, effective January 1, 2016; and,

WHEREAS, during this same time frame, the Implementation Plan was developed, reviewed by the Executive Committee and the Board of Supervisors for final approval and implementation, effective January 1, 2016; and,

WHEREAS, during the appeals process the Executive Committee and the Board of Supervisors approved Human Resources recommendations for partial and full approvals for an effective date after January 31, 2016, and,

WHEREAS, the Human Services Director of Community Programs approval contained a typographical error and should have stated a partial approval of grade 6; and

WHEREAS, employees approved appeals will receive a retroactive salary adjustment to reflect their new classification.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the attached adjustments to the Classification and Compensation Plan are approved, effective January 31, 2016.

Respectfully submitted,

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Approved By: /s/ Troy Streckenbach Date: 01/26/2016

Authored by Human Resources

Fiscal Note: This resolution does not require an appropriation from the General Fund. Wage adjustments of \$18,702.00 will come from Human Resources Employee Reimbursement account.

Supervisor Robinson stated that attachments, as stated in the resolution, were not provided to the Board.

A motion was made by Supervisor Sieber and seconded by Supervisor Kaye **“to adopt”**. Roll call vote taken.

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Sieber, De Wane, Nicholson, Hoyer, Gruszynski, Erickson, Zima, Kaye, Buckley, Landwehr, Dantine, Katers, Kaster, Van Dyck, Jamir, Robinson, Clancy, Campbell, Moynihan, Blom, Schadewald, Lund, Fewell

Nayes: Evans

Absent: Haefs, La Violette

Total Ayes: 23 Total Nayes: 1 Total Absent: 2

Motion carried.

No. 11a-11f. -- CLOSED SESSIONS - Struck from the agenda.

No. 12 -- SUCH OTHER MATTERS AS AUTHORIZED BY LAW.

Late Communication:

No. 12a -- FROM SUPERVISOR SCHADEWALD: 1-IF THE HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE CONSIDERS INCREASING THE SIZE OF MEMBERSHIP OF THE BOARD OF HEALTH, I REQUEST THAT AT LEAST ONE (1) NEW MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF HEALTH BE A COUNTY BOARD SUPERVISOR. 2-I REQUEST AN UPDATE FROM THE HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE ON THE MENTAL HEALTH FUNDS ADDED TO THE 2016 BUDGET.

Refer to Human Services Committee.

No. 13 -- BILLS OVER \$5,000 FOR PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2015

A motion was made by Supervisor Clancy and seconded by Supervisor Hoyer “**to pay the bills for period ending December 31, 2015**”. Voice vote taken. Motion carried unanimously with no abstentions.

No. 14 -- CLOSING ROLL CALL

Present: Sieber, De Wane, Nicholson, Hoyer, Gruszynski, Erickson, Zima, Evans, Kaye, Landwehr, Buckley, Dantine, Katers, Kaster, Van Dyck, Jamir, Robinson, Clancy, Campbell, Moynihan, Blom, Schadewald, Lund, Fewell

Excused: Haefs, La Violette

Total Present: 24 Total Excused: 2

No. 15 -- ADJOURNMENT TO WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 2016 AT 7:00 P.M., LEGISLATIVE ROOM 203, 100 N. JEFFERSON ST., GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN.

A motion was made by Supervisor Zima and seconded by Supervisor Clancy “**to adjourn to the above date and time**”. Voice vote taken. Motion carried unanimously with no abstentions.

Meeting Adjourned at 8:46 p.m.

/s/ Sandra L. Juno
SANDRA L. JUNO
Brown County Clerk